EVALUATION OF *LEUCAENA* SPECIES GERMPLASM FOR GENETIC RESISTANCE TO *MELOIDOGYNE INCOGNITA* AND *M. JAVANICA*<sup>1</sup>

A. R. Zimet, K. H. Quesenberry, and R. A. Dunn Respectively, North Florida Research and Education Center, Quincy, Florida 32351; Department of Agronomy; and Department of Entomology and Nematology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, U.S.A.

Accepted:

10.VII.1986

Aceptado:

#### ABSTRACT

Zimet, A. R., K. H. Quesenberry, and R. A. Dunn. 1986. Evaluation of *Leucaena* species germplasm for genetic resistance to *Meloidogyne incognita* and *M. javanica*. Nematropica 16:99-108.

There has been increasing interest in adapting tropical legumes to parts of the Southern United States and worldwide. Fast-growing trees, such as Leucaena (Benth.) offer promise as forage and biomass crops, and easily adapt to subtropical environments. Few disease and pest problems have been linked to Leucaena spp., but a low level of root-knot nematode galling was observed in a forage evaluation planting in Florida. Our objectives for this study were to evaluate diverse Leucaena spp. for genetic resistance to two root-knot nematode species prevalent in Florida soils. Ninety-seven accessions of Leucaena spp. were screened in a shadehouse for reaction to Florida populations of race 3 of Meloidogyne incognita (Kofoid and White) Chitwood and M. javanica (Treub) Chitwood. The results from the nematode study, using three levels of nematode inoculum (0, 3, and 9 eggs/cm<sup>3</sup> of soil) on 11-week-old plants grown in 150-cm3 plastic containers, showed a general absence of root galling of the plant by either nematode species, with few exceptions. During 1983, a split-plot experimental design was used to evaluate M. incognita-inoculated versus non-inoculated Leucaena spp. grown under field conditions on Myakka fine sand. The root reactions of the 17 accessions grown in the field supported the findings of the M. incognita-inoculated container study.

Additional key words: Alysicarpus vaginalis, root-knot nematodes.

#### RESUMEN

Zimet, A. R., K. H. Quesenberry, and R. A. Dunn. 1986. Evaluación del germoplasma de especies de *Leucaena* en relación con su resistencia genetica a *Meloidogyne incognita* y a *M. javanica*. Nematropica 16:99-108.

Existe un interés creciente en adaptar las legumbres tropicales a las regiones del Sur de los Estados Unidos de America y del mundo entero. Arboles de crecimiento rápido como Leucaena (Benth.) son prometedores como productores de forrajes y materia vegetal viva, ya que son fácilmente adaptables al ambiente subtropical. Pocas enfermedades y plagas han sido relacionadas con Leucaena spp., pero en una evaluación de forrajes efectuada en Florida, se observó un nivel bajo del nematodo nodulador. El objetivo de éste estudio fue evaluar diversas especies de Leucaena para determinar su resistencia genética

a dos especies del nematodo nodulador que son comunes en los suelos de Florida. Noventa y siete introducciones de *Leucaena* spp. fueron evaluadas en un umbraculo para determinar su reacción a la raza 3 de *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White) Chitwood y a *M. javanica* (Treub) Chitwood. Se utilizaron tres niveles de inocolo del nematodo (0, 3 y 9 huevecillos por cm³ de suelo) en plantas de 11 semanas de edad, mantenidas en macetas plásticas de 150 cm³ de capacidad. En general, con pocas excepciones, los resultados del estudio mostraron una ausencia de nódulos de nematodos en las raices. Durante 1983 se uso un diseño experimental de parcelas divididas para evaluar, bajo condiciones de campo, las introducciones de *Leucaena* spp. inoculadas con *M. incognita*. La reacción de las raices de las introducciones probadas en el campo estuvieron de acuerdo con los resultados de los estudios conducidos en el umbraculo.

Palabras claves adicionales: Alysicarpus vaginalis, nematodos noduladores.

### INTRODUCTION

Nematode susceptibility of cultivated crops is of widespread importance. Two species of root-knot nematode [Meloidogyne incognita (Kofoid and White) Chitwood and M. javanica (Treub) Chitwood] are prevalent in warmer soils and affect a wide range of crops. The current international interest in Leucaena (Benth.) as a forage and biomass crop suggested a need to evaluate the response of these tree legumes to root-knot nematodes. Although once described as a virtually disease and insect free crop (8), several observations of disease and insect occurrence on Leucaena spp. have been recently cited (2,5,7,9).

Nematological references to Leucaena spp. are available, although information on root-knot nematode (Meloidogyne spp.) infection of Leucaena spp. has been cited by Bessey (1) and Thrower (12). Severe infection of the roots of L. glauca, synonymous with L. leucocephala (Lam.) de Wit, by M. javanica was reported in Papua, New Guinea (12). Nematode-inoculated plants were killed outright or severely galled and had lower yields than uninoculated control plants. Mature females and egg-masses were recovered, demonstrating successful nematode reproduction in L. glauca. More recently, resistance to M. incognita in L. leucocephala was reported by Hasan and co-workers (3). Limited information on nematode parasitism and the low incidence of root-knot nematode galling observed on a forage L. leucocephala at Gainesville, Florida warranted further study in this area.

The identification of root-knot nematode resistance in plants requires working with rather large plant populations. Recently, Quesenberry et al. (10) reported the use of small plastic containers as a technique for screening forage legumes for response to root-knot nematodes. Results from 150-cm³ containers correlated better than those from 50-cm³ containers with galling observed in field plots. The following experiments were used to evaluate: 1) part of the USDA Leucaena plant intro-

duction collection for response to *M. javanica* and race 3 of *M. incognita*, and 2) the use of 150-cm<sup>3</sup> containers in screening woody legumes for nematode resistance.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Experiment 1

Ninety-seven Leucaena accessions (Table 1) and a Florida common alyceclover, Alysicarpus vaginalis (L.) DC., were germinated under sterile conditions (seeds were surface-sterilized in 95% EtOH for 30 sec., immersed in 0.2% acidified HgCl<sub>2</sub> for 3 min and rinsed 6 times in sterile, deionized water). Two-day-old seedlings were transplanted into 150-cm<sup>3</sup> Conetainers® (Ray Leach Conetainer Nursery, Canby, Oregon) containing a steam-sterilized 1:1 mixture of Myakka fine sand (sandy, siliceous, hyperthermic Aeric Haplaquod) and coarse sand. The soil mixture was fertilized with a commercial micronutrient mixture (FTE® 503) prior to autoclaving. Plants were watered once a week with full strength Hoagland's solution (4) or tap water as needed. At 21 days, seedlings were infested with 0, 3, or 9 eggs of race 3 of M. incognita/cm<sup>3</sup> soil, supplied in a concentrated 1-ml aliquot applied with an automatic pipet to a depth of approximately 6 cm. Nematode inoculum was produced on 'Rutgers' tomato and isolated by the method of Hussey and Barker (6). Conetainers were arranged in a randomized complete-block design with 5 replications. Seedlings were grown under 30% shade in a shadehouse. The seedlings were evaluated 60 days after inoculation and roots were scored on a visual rating scale (11) of 0 to 5 (0 = no galls, 1 = 1 or 2 galls, 2 = 3-10 galls, 3 = 11-30 galls, 4 = 31-100 galls, 5 = > 100 galls per root system) as described by Quesenberry et al. (10).

## Experiment 2

This experiment was conducted at the same time and under the same conditions as Experiment 1, except that *M. javanica* eggs were substituted as the inoculum.

# Experiment 3

Surface-sterilized seeds (as described previously) of 17 Leucaena accessions and Florida common alyceclover (Table 1) were germinated asceptically. Two-day-old seedlings were planted into Todd<sup>®</sup> Planter Flats (Speedling Manufacturing, Inc., Sun City, Florida) containing steam-sterilized commercial potting soil. After 30 days, half of the seedlings were inoculated with approximately 9 eggs of race 3 of M. incognita per cm³ of soil directly into the Todd Planter Flats while the other half

Table 1. List of Alysicarpus and Leucaena accessions used in experiments

U.S.D.A. plant introductions and Univ. of Florida		
accessions <sup>z</sup>	Species	Origin
*Alyceclover	A. vaginalis	Florida
UF-1	$L.\ leuc ocephala$	Florida
*UF-2	$L.\ leuc ocephala$	Florida
VI-2	$L.\ leuc ocephala$	U.S. Virgin Island
VI-3	L. leucocephala	U.S. Virgin Island
164061	L. leucocephala	Brazil
188810	L. leucocephala	Philippines
247682	L. leucocephala	Belgian Congo
263695	L. esculenta	Mexico
274470	$L.\ leucocephala$	South Africa
279180	L. leucocephala	India
279577	L. leucocephala	Taiwan
281605	L. leucocephala	U.S. Virgin Island
281606	L. leucocephala	Colombia
281627	L. leucocephala	Australia
281636	L. leucocephala	Tanganyika
281766	$L.\ leucocephala$ x	0 ,
	L. pulverulenta	New Guinea
281767	$L.$ $leucocephala\ {f x}$	
	L. glabrata	New Guinea
*281771	L. leucocephala	New Guinea
281772	L. leucocephala	New Guinea
281775	L. leucocephala	New Guinea
281777	L. leucocephala	New Caledonia
281778	L. leucocephala	New Caledonia
281779	L. leucocephala	New Caledonia
281780	L. leucocephala	Puerto Rico
281781	L. leucocephala	Ceylon
281782	L. leucocephala	Ghana
281783	L. leucocephala	Sierra Leone
*281784	L. leucocephala	Senegal
282396	L. leucocephala	Philippines
282404	$L.\ leucocephala$	Colombia
*282405	L. sp.	Honduras
*282458	L. leucocephala	Colombia
*282460	L. insularum	Australia
282462	L. leucocephala	Australia

Table 1. List of *Alysicarpus* and *Leucaena* accessions used in experiments (continued).

(continued).		
U.S.D.A. plant		
introductions and		
Univ. of Florida		
accessions <sup>z</sup>	Species	Origin
282463	L. leucocephala	Australia
282464	L. leucocephala	Australia
*282465	$L.\ leucocephala$	Australia
282466	L. leucocephala	Australia
282467	L. leucocephala	Australia
*282468	$L.\ leucocephala$	Australia
282469	L. leucocephala	Australia
282470	L. leucocephala	Australia
*282471	$L.\ leucocephala$	Australia
282472	L. leucocephala	Australia
282473	L. leucocephala	Australia
*282474	L. sp.	Australia
*282692	$L.\ leucocephala$	Mexico
282817	$L.\ leucocephala$	Taiwan
283697	$L.\ leucocephala$	New Caledonia
286248	$L.\ lance olata$	Mexico
286295	$L.\ leucocephala$	Ivory Coast
288001	$L.\ leucocephala$	U.S.A.
288003	$L.\ leucocephala$	U.S.A.
288004	$L.\ leucocephala$	U.S.A.
288005	$L.\ leucocephala$	U.S.A.
288007	$L.\ leucocephala$	U.S.A.
288008	$L.\ leucocephala$	U.S.A.
288009	L. pulverulenta	U.S.A.
288011	$L.\ leucocephala$	U.S.A.
292345	$L.\ leucocephala$	Bolivia
295360	L. leucocephala	Taiwan
295362	L. leucocephala	Taiwan
295363	L. leucocephala	Taiwan
*295364	$L.\ leucocephala$	Taiwan
300010	L. leucocephala	South Africa
300011	$L.\ leucocephala$	South Africa
*304650	$L.\ leucocephala$	Argentina
305453	$L.\ leucocephala$	Sierra Leone
308544	$L.\ leucocephala$	Colombia
308568	$L.\ leucocephala$	Venezuela

Table 1. List of *Alysicarpus* and *Leucaena* accessions used in experiments (continued).

introductions and		
Univ. of Florida accessions <sup>z</sup>	Species	Origin
311128	L. leucocephala	Nicaragua
311513	$L.\ leucocephala$	Brazil
317908	$L.\ leucocephala$	U.S.A.
317909	$L.\ leucocephala$	U.S.A.
317910	$L.\ leucocephala$	U.S.A.
317911	$L.\ leucocephala$	U.S.A.
317912	$L.\ leucocephala$	U.S.A.
317916	$L.~pulverulenta~\mathbf{x}$	
	$L.\ leucocephala$	U.S.A.
*317918	L. sp.	U.S.A.
322553	L. leucocephala	Brazil
331798	L. leucocephala	Australia
364373	L. leucocephala	Nigeria
367835	L. leucocephala	Argentina
370749	L. leucocephala	U.S.A.
*384516	L. leucocephala	Bahamas
384517	L. leucocephala	Bahamas
415703	L. leucocephala	Taiwan
435930	L. leucocephala	U.S.A.
443479	L. leucocephala	El Salvador
443559	$L.\ leucocephala$	Mexico
*443599	L. leucocephala	Mexico
443604	L. leucocephala	Mexico
443608	L. leucocephala	Mexico
443700	L. leucocephala	Mexico

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Asterisk (\*) indicates U.S.D.A. plant introductions and Univ. of Florida accessions also included in field experiment.

received no eggs and served as control plants. The infested and non-infested plants with attached root balls were then immediately transplanted to a fumigated field treated with 37 L/ha of ethylene dibromide (Soilbrom-90 EC®, Great Lakes Chemical Corp.). The field was located on Myakka fine sand (sandy, siliceous, hyperthermic, Aeric Haplaquod) at the Beef Research Unit, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida. The experimental design was a split-plot with nematode infestation ver-

sus no infestation as the main plots, and *Leucaena* and alyceclover accessions as the subplots. There were 8 replications. Whole plants were removed after 120 days of growth in the field, and root gall counts (as previously described) and whole plant dry-matter yields were recorded. Plant dry matter yields of alyceclover were not included in the comparisons.

### RESULTS

# Experiments 1 and 2

In the shadehouse, an assessment of root-knot nematode resistance to Leucaena spp. and a known root-knot susceptible control plant, alyceclover, grown in Conetainers, provided a relatively rapid and inexpensive method for screening large quantities of plants in a limited space. Several Leucaena accessions grown in containers showed only a low incidence of root galling in response to either M. incognita or M. javanica. Although variation in gall ratings for those accessions were statistically significant (P = 0.05), gall numbers were so low that they appeared of little biological importance. In the M. incognita inoculation experiment, Leucaena PI 286295 had a 1.6 gall rating at the 9 egg/cm<sup>3</sup> inoculation level but no more than 2 or 3 galls per root system were observed on any plant. No apparent galling at the 3 egg/cm<sup>3</sup> soil inoculum level was noted on PI 286295. One other genotype reacted mildly to M. incognita. a hybrid of L. leucocephala x L. pulverulenta, PI 281767, which had a gall score of 1.0 at the 3 egg/cm³ inoculum level, but only a 0.2 score at the 9 egg/cm³ level. The remainder of the accessions in both experiment had gall scores of less than 1.0, and most accessions had no galls.

The Florida common alyceclover accession, a highly susceptible genotype to root-knot, provided an excellent example of plant susceptibility to both nematode species. The alyceclover accession had gall ratings of 4.0 for the 3 egg/cm³ level and 4.8 for the 9 egg/cm³ the level of the *M. incognita*-inoculated experiment. In the *M. javanica*-inoculated study, alyceclover had gall ratings of 3.4 and 5.0 for the 3 and 9 egg/cm³ levels, respectively. The less than 5.0 gall rating on the nematode-inoculated alyceclover was attributed to decomposition of highly-galled roots, which actually lowered the final root galling score. Uninoculated control alyceclover plants, in both studies, were free of any galling and received gall rating scores of 0.

# Experiment 3

Field data correlated well with the shadehouse results obtained from *M. incognita* in Conetainers. Nematode-inoculated *Leucaena* accessions had no root galling while the infested alyceclover accession was heavily

galled (Table 2). In one replication, an uninoculated alyceclover entry showed appreciable galling (rated at 3), although planted in a fumigated soil. This was possibly due to an increase in nematode populations after fumigation, faulty fumigation, or nematode contamination from inoculated plants. Fumigation had no significant effect on dry matter yields of *Leucaena* accessions (Table 2). The accession UF-2 exhibited greater early seedling vigor than other *Leucaena* accessions, although the magnitude of the yield difference was small.

Table 2. Comparison of *M. incognita* infested and non-infested *Leucaena* and *Alysicarpus* accessions for root galling response and dry matter yield under field conditions.

	Root gall rating <sup>y,z</sup>		Pland dry matter yield, g/plant <sup>z</sup>	
Accession	Non-infested	Infested	Non-infested	Infested
Alyceclover	0.4 a	5.0 a	3530	2810
UF-2	0 b	0 b	320 a	325 a
281771	0 b	0 b	89 bc	111 b
281784	0 b	0 b	83 bc	124 b
282405	0 b	0 b	244 ab	230 ab
282458	0 b	0 b	131 bc	144 b
282460	0 b	0 b	224 abc	$154\mathrm{b}$
282465	0 b	0 b	$154  \mathrm{bc}$	136 b
282468	0 b	0 b	203 abc	135 b
282471	0 b	0 b	$146  \mathrm{bc}$	149 b
282474	0 b	0 b	93 bc	$88\mathrm{b}$
282692	0 b	0 b	111 bc	201 ab
288009	0 b	0 b	119 bc	$86\mathrm{b}$
295364	0 b	0 b	$106  \mathrm{bc}$	70 b
304650	0 b	0 b	70 с	144 b
317918	0 b	0 b	91 bc	$137\mathrm{b}$
384516	0 b	0 b	79 с	$127 \mathrm{b}$
443599	0 b	0 b	83 bc	143 b

 $^{y}$ Gall rating: 0 = no galls, 1 = 1 or 2 galls, 2 = 3-10 galls, 3 = 11-30 galls, 4 = 31-100 galls, 5 = > 100 galls per root system.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Means in the same column not followed by the same letter are significantly different at the 0.05 level. No significant overall treatment or treatment x genotype interaction effect.

### DISCUSSION

Data from these tests indicate a high degree of root-knot nematode resistance in *Leucaena* spp. to the races of *M. incognita* and *M. javanica* used in these experiments. Races or biotypes of *Meloidogyne* species are common and our data do not preclude different responses when using other nematode populations. The North Carolina State University Differential Host Test (11) divides *M. incognita* into four races, although no distinct races of *M. javanica* have been found.

The highly root-knot susceptible alyceclover accession provided an excellent positive check of the viability of nematode inocula. Although 3 eggs/cm³ soil rates were suitable for an inoculum load in Conetainers, instituting a "light" and a "heavy" inoculum load level as well as an uninoculated check insured against gall counting error due to "root-pruning" by the nematode. Root pruning refers to a phenomenon whereby nematode feeding has stunted growth of lateral roots and/or caused such severe injury that they have sloughed from the tap root, so little or no galling of lateral roots can be observed. Hence low gall scores would be recorded when the plant actually has marked response to the nematode. Little or no root pruning was observed in *Leucaena*.

From a practical point of view, cultivation of a highly root-knot nematode resistant *Leucaena* could conceivably decrease the *Meloidogyne* spp. population in field soil. Ideally, root-knot nematode populations could be reduced below the economic damage threshold for interplanted or subsequent crops after a period of time.

### REFERENCES

- 1. BESSEY, E. A. 1911. Root-knot and its control. USDA Bureau of Plant Industries Bull. No. 217, Washington. 89 pp.
- 2. CHANG, D. T., C. C. TAO, and C. Y. WONG. 1982. Three species of scale insects injurious to "giant" *Leucaena*. *Leucaena* Res. Rept. 3:55.
- 3. HASAN, N., R. K. JAIN, and B. D. PATIL. 1983. Expression of resistance in *Leucaena leucocephala* selections to root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Leucaena* Res. Rept. 4:22.
- 4. HOAGLAND, D. R, and D. I. ARNON. 1938. The water-culture method for growing plants without soil. Univ. of Calif. Agric. Exp. Sta. Circ. 347, Berkeley.
- 5. HSIEH, H. J. 1982. Diseases of *Leucaena* in Taiwan. *Leucaena* Res. Rept. 3:58.
- 6. HUSSEY, R. S., and K. R. BARKER. 1973. A comparison of methods of collecting inocula of *Meloidogyne* spp. including a new technique. Plant Dis. Reptr. 57:1025-1028.

- 7. LENNE, J. M., and A. V. de ALVAREZ. 1982. Seedling rot of *Leucaena* caused by *Fusarium* sp. *Leucaena* Res. Rept. 3:14.
- 8. NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES. 1977. *Leucaena*-promising forage and tree crop for the tropics. Washington, D.C.
- 9. PEREZ-GUERERO, Z. J. 1982. Enfermedades de *Leucaena* observadas en tropico humedo de Costa Rica. *Leucaena* Res. Rept. 3:16.
- 10. QUESENBERRY, K. H., D. D. BALTENSPERGER, and R. A. DUNN. 1986. Screening *Trifolium* spp. for response to *Meloidogyne* spp. Crop Sci. 26:61-64.
- 11. TAYLOR, A. L., and J. N. SASSER. 1978. Biology, identification, and control of root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* species). N.C. State Univ. Graphics, Raleigh. 111 pp.
- 12. THROWER, L. B. 1958. Observations on the root-knot nematode in Papua New Guinea. Trop. Agric. Trin. 35:213-217.

Received for publication:

11.IV.1986

Recibido para publicar:

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Florida Agricultural Experiment Stations Journal Series No. 6677. The use of trade names in this publication does not imply endorsement by the University of Florida of the products named, nor criticism of similar ones not mentioned.