# *Xiphinema japonicum* n. sp. (Nematoda: Longidorinae) from the Rhizosphere of Japanese *Podocarpus macrophyllus* (Thunb.), a Cryptic Species Related to *Xiphinema bakeri* Williams, 1961

LIRONG ZHAO,<sup>1</sup> WEIMIN YE,<sup>2</sup> MUNAWAR MARIA,<sup>3</sup> MAJID PEDRAM,<sup>4</sup> AND JIANFENG GU<sup>5</sup>

Abstract: Xiphinema japonicum n. sp., isolated in Ningbo, China, from the rhizosphere of Podocarpus macrophyllus (Thunb.) imported from Japan is described. The new species belongs to Xiphinema non-americanum group 7 and is characterized by medium body length (3.0-3.7 mm), total stylet length  $190-201 \mu$ m, vulva located anteriorly (V = 30.5%-35.3%), two equally developed female genital branches without uterine differentiation (no Z or pseudo-Z organ and/or spines in the uteri), short tail, convex-conoid with subdigitate peg in terminus, and absence of males. The species has four juvenile developmental stages (J1 was not found). The polytomous identification codes of the new species are (codes in parentheses are exceptions) A4-B4-C4-D5(4)-E2(3)-F3(4)-G2(3)-H2-I3-J4-K2-L1. Morphologically, the new species is mainly characterized by combination of the codes C4 and E2(3), making the species unique and different from other species in the genus. It is most similar to the North American species *Xiphinema bakeri*, herein considered as its cryptic species by the nature of high morphological similarity, but with significant differences in DNA sequences in *Xiphinema setariae* from which the new species could be separated based on morphological and molecular characters. Morphological comparisons with phylogenetically related species are also discussed.

Key words: molecular, morphology, morphometrics, new species, phylogeny, taxonomy, Xiphinema bakeri, X. diversicaudatum, X. setariae, Xiphinema non-americanum group.

Members of the genus *Xiphinema* Cobb, 1913 are worldwide distributed and economically important nematodes that cause damage to an extensive range of plants by feeding on plant root cells and in some cases, by transmitting viruses to fruit and vegetable crops (Taylor and Brown, 1997). This transmission is governed by a marked specificity between plant viruses and their *Xiphinema* spp. vectors. In fact, only nine of the approximately 260 known species of *Xiphinema* have been shown to transmit nepoviruses (Decraemer and Robbins, 2007).

Species identification in genus *Xiphinema* is very difficult because of conservative and overlapping morphological and morphometric characters. These identification procedures are further complicated because of the morphological intraspecific variation and the presence of cryptic species that are morphologically indistinguishable but may be phylogenetically distant to one another (Brown and Topham, 1985; Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al., 2010, 2012; Archidona-Yuste et al., 2016b). Because of the diversity of diagnostic/morphological

E-mail: gujf@nbciq.gov.cn.

characters, *Xiphinema* species are divided into two groups viz X. americanum group which contains more than 55 species and a second bigger group namely X. non-americanum group (Ghaemi et al., 2012; Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al., 2013). As the Xiphinema species have phytosanitory significance, reliable identification allows distinction between virus vector and nonvirus vector nematodes and it can assist in the exclusion of species under quarantine or regulatory strategies (Tzortzakakis et al., 2014).

In China, arhat pine (*Podocarpus macrophyllus* (Thunb.)) is regarded as an important cultural and ornamental tree. Since 2012, about 20,000 arhat pine trees with a worth of 70 million USD have been imported from Japan. During two routine quarantine inspections in February and June 2015, an unknown *Xiphinema* species was detected from the soil samples of imported arhat pines from Japan. Morphological and molecular characterization revealed that this species is new to science and herein described as *X. japonicum* n. sp.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nematode samplings, extraction, and morphological study: Arhat pine trees are permitted to have small amount of rhizospheric soil for tree survival during transportation and handling procedures when imported. Rhizospheric soil samples were obtained by making 2–3 holes, 20–30 cm depth, and about one kilogram soil with some roots were collected. Nematodes were extracted by a modified Baermann funnel technique for 24–48 hr. These dagger nematodes were detected from two shipments whose registered numbers are 0535 and 0662, respectively. Measurements were made on specimens fixed in TAF and processed to glycerin following the method of Seinhorst (1959). Only

Received for publication September 24, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Guangdong Inspection and Quarantine Technology Center, Guangdong Entry-Exit Inspection and Quarantine Bureau of the People's Republic of China, Guangzhou, People's Republic of China.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Nematode Assay Section, North Carolina Department of Agriculture, Raleigh, NC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, People's Republic of China.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Technical Centre, Ningbo Entry-Exit Inspection and Quarantine Bureau, Ningbo 315012, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China.

The research was supported by the Ningbo Science and Technology Innovation Team (2015C110018), Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of import and export technical measures of animal, plant, and food (IQTC201502), and General Administration of quality supervision, inspection, and quarantine issue (2015IK077 and 2016IK168). The valuable scientific comments of Dr. David Gibson are deeply appreciated.

This paper was edited by Zafar A. Handoo.

Light micrographs were made using a Zeiss Imager Z1 microscope equipped with a Zeiss AxioCam MRm CCD camera.

Molecular analyses: For DNA extraction, a single nematode was transferred to worm lysis buffer (WLB: 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM KCl, 3.0 mM Mg<sub>2</sub>Cl, 2.0 mM DTT, and 0.9% Tween) and crushed with a sterilized pipette tip. The crushed nematode was pipetted into 8  $\mu$ l ddH<sub>2</sub>O with 2  $\mu$ l proteinase K (60  $\mu$ g/ml) in an Eppendorf tube, which was then briefly spun and stored at  $-70^{\circ}$ C for at least 10 min. Subsequently, the Eppendorf tube was incubated at 65°C for 1–2 hr and the proteinase K was denatured at 95°C for 10 min. Finally, the DNA suspension was cooled to 4°C and used for conducting PCR (Li et al., 2008).

Three single females were prepared as three DNA samples each from 0535 and 0662, respectively. Four sets of primers (synthesized by Invitrogen, Shanghai, China) were used in the PCR analyses to amplify the partial 18S, ITS1, the 28S rDNA D2/D3 regions, and a portion of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase c subunit I gene (COXI). Primers for amplification of 18S rDNA were forward primer K4f and reverse primer K1r (Penas et al., 2006). Primers for amplification of ITS1 rDNA were forward primer V1 (Ferris et al., 1993) and reverse primer 5.8S (Cherry et al., 1997). Primers for amplification of 28S D2/D3 were forward primer D2A and reverse primer D3B (De Ley et al., 1999). Primers for amplification of COXI were JB3 and JB5 (Hu et al., 2002). The 25-µl PCR was performed using Apex Taq Red Master Mix DNA polymerase (Genesee Scientific Corporation, San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol in an Applied Biosystems Veriti® thermocycler. The thermal cycler program for 28S and COXI was as follows: denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing at 55°C (28S) or 51°C (COXI) for 45 sec, and extension at 72°C for 2 min. A final extension was performed at 72°C for 10 min. The thermal cycler program for 18S and ITS1 was as follows: denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 60 sec, annealing at 55°C for 60 sec, and extension at 72°C for 2 min. A final extension was performed at 72°C for 5 min as described by Ye et al. (2007) and Li et al. (2008). PCR products were separated and visualized on 1% agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide. PCR products of sufficiently high quality were cleaned using ExoSap-IT (Affymetrix, Inc., Santa Clara, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol and were sequenced by Invitrogen (Shanghai, China).

*Phylogenetic analysis:* DNA sequences were edited with ChromasPro1.5 (© 2003–2009 Technelysium Pty Ltd) and aligned using ClustalW (http://workbench.sdsc.

edu, Bioinformatics and Computational Biology group, Dept. Bioengineering, UC San Diego, CA). The model of base substitution in the 18S, ITS1, 28S, and COXI was selected using MODELTEST version 3.06 (Posada and Criandall, 1998). The Akaike-supported model, the proportion of invariable sites, and the gamma distribution shape parameters were used in Bayesian inference using MrBayes 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001) running the chains for 1,000,000 generations and setting the "burnin" at 2,500. We used MCMC (Markov chain Monte Carlo) method within a Bayesian framework to estimate the posterior probabilities (PP) of the phylogenetic trees (Larget and Simon, 1999) using the 50% majority rule. The Bayesian PP higher than 50% are given on appropriate clades. Some outgroup species and other species from GenBank were also used for phylogenetic analysis as shown in phylogenetic trees.

### RESULTS

### Systematics

# Xiphinema japonicum\*n. sp. (Figs. 1–3; Table 1)

## Description

Female: Body cylindrical, narrowing gradually toward anterior and tail region, assuming an open C- to J-shaped when killed by heat. Cuticle comprises two optically different layers with fine transverse striations more visible in the tail region, 3.5-4.0 µm thick at midbody and thicker (7.0–8.0  $\mu$ m) at the dorsal side of the tail. The lip region anteriorly rounded, separated from the rest of the body by a weak depression and 2.4-3.2 times as high as width. Amphids with funnel-shaped fovea and wide slit-like aperture, slightly narrower than lip width. Five to six body pores present between anterior end and guiding ring on the dorsal and ventral side, respectively. Odontostyle long, 9.8-12.1 times lip region width, or 1.7-2.1 times odontophore length long. Odontophore with well-developed basal flanges (10-12 µm wide). Guiding ring double and guiding sheath 14-21 µm long depending on the degree of protraction/retraction of odontostyle. Pharynx consisting of an anterior slender narrow part, 431-470 µm long, extending to a terminal pharyngeal bulb with three nuclei, the dorsal gland nucleus (DN) located at the beginning of bulb, i.e., 14%–22% of the pharyngeal bulb length, while the other two subventro-lateral nuclei (S1N) are located at 41.2%-53%. Pharyngeal bulb 100-110 µm long and 22-28 µm wide, occupying about 1/4 to 1/5 of the total pharynx length. Pharyngo-intestinal valve conoid-oblonged and 7.2-9.3 µm long. Intestine simple, prerectum 16.5-20.4 times, and rectum 0.9-1.1 times anal body diameter long. Reproductive system didelphic-amphidelphic with both branches about equally developed. Each branch composed of a long (85–163 µm) reflexed ovary, long (73–95 µm) oviduct with enlarged pars dilatata oviductus separated from the

406 Journal of Nematology, Volume 49, No. 4, December 2017



FIG. 1. *Xiphinema japonicum* n. sp. A. Female pharyngeal region; B, C. Lip region; D. Anterior genital branch; E–H: Entire body of female, 4th, 3rd, and 2nd stage juveniles; and I–L: Tail of female, 4th, 3rd, and 2nd stage juveniles (Scale bars = A–D, I–L 40 μm; E–H 400 μm).

uterus by a well-developed sphincter, long (172–304  $\mu$ m) bipartite uterus composed of a well-developed *pars dila-tata uteri* and tubular part, well-developed ovejector, 24–31  $\mu$ m wide, vagina (15–24  $\mu$ m long) perpendicular to the body axis, extending inward for 35%–43% of corresponding body diameter, and the vulva slit-like and situated well anterior to the midbody (V = 30.5–35.3). Tail short, conoid, convex in the dorsal side, and flat in the ventral side with a subdigitate peg-like terminus.

Male: Not found.

*Juveniles:* Three juvenile developmental stages (J2, J3, and J4) were found. Juveniles are similar to adults in gross morphology, except for their smaller size, longer tail, and undeveloped reproductive organs. Tail becomes progressively shorter and stouter in each moult, being distinguishable by relative lengths of body and functional and replacement odontostyle.

*Type locality and habitat:* The new species was detected in the rhizosphere of arhat pine tree (*Podocarpus macrophyllus*)

imported from Japan on February and June, 2015, at Ningbo Port, P. R. China.

*Type material:* Holotype female and nine female paratypes (slide numbers 0535-1 to 0535-9 and 0662-1 to 0662-6) deposited in the nematode collection of Ningbo Entry–Exit Inspection and Quarantine Bureau, China. Three paratype females (slide numbers T532) deposited in the Canadian National Collection of Nematodes, Ottawa, Canada.

Differential diagnosis: Xiphinema japonicum n. sp. is a gonochoristic species characterized mainly by having two equally developed genital tracts without any type of differentiations and more anteriorly located vulva at 30.5%-35.3% of the total body length. It is further characterized by having a medium body length (3.0– 3.7 mm), stylet length 190.0–201.4 µm, composed of a 119–126 µm long odontostyle and 64.4–77.0 µm long odontophore, short conoid female tail, dorsally convex and ventrally flat with a subdigitate peg, four juvenile



FIG. 2. *Xiphinema japonicum* n. sp. A. Female pharyngeal region; B–D. Lip region (arrows showing anterior body pores); E. Genital branches; F, G. Vulval region; and H–J. Tail of female (Scale bars = 10 μm).

developmental stages, and males were absent. Based on the polytomous key presented by Loof & Luc (1990) and Loof et al. (1996), the new species belongs to *Xiphinema* non-*americanum* group 7 and has the following specific alphanumeric codes (codes in parentheses are exceptions): A4-B4-C4-D5(4)-E2(3)-F3(4)-G2(3)-H2-I3-J4-K2-L1. Males were not observed for *X. japonicum* n. sp., thus the comparisons were provided only for female characters and morphometrics.

*Etymology:* The species epithet is derived from the location where the species was found.

Morphologically, X. japonicum n. sp. largely resembles X. bakeri. Compared with the original population of X. bakeri described from British Columbia (Williams, 1961), the new species has slightly shorter body (2,965–3,757 vs 3,700–4,740  $\mu$ m) and shorter odontostyle (119–126 vs 142  $\mu$ m), but the new species is very similar to populations of X. bakeri reported from Arkansas, California, Florida, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, North Carolina, Kentucky, Oregon, Tennessee, and Washington (Ye and Robbins, 2010). However, compared to X. bakeri, the new species has differences in the morphology of tail



FIG. 3. *Xiphinema japonicum* n. sp. A–C. Lip region of 2nd, 3rd, and 4th stages juveniles and D–F. Tail region of 2nd, 3rd, and 4th stages juveniles (Scale bars =  $10 \mu m$ ).

in the third and second juvenile developmental stages, i.e., the new species has a ventrally curved uniformly narrowing conical tail in J3 (*vs* conical, slightly ventrally bent, as illustrated by Coomans et al., 2001) and narrow, uniformly narrowing conical tail in J2 (*vs* dorsally convex and ventrally slightly concave as illustrated by Coomans et al., 2001).

The new species is also very similar to *X. setariae* Luc, 1958 from group 7 based on the new morphometric data provided by Peraza-Pallida et al. (2017) and differs from it by having a slightly longer body (2,965–3,757 vs

2,305–3,089  $\mu$ m), posteriorly located guiding ring (100.5–127 *vs* 73–104  $\mu$ m), anteriorly located vulva (V = 30.5–35.3 *vs* 38–42%), slimmer body (a = 56.2–75.8 *vs* 52.3–84.5), greater c value (55–69 *vs* 44.7–64.4), and on the morphology of the terminal peg in the tail end (sharp separation from the rest of the body in new species *vs* uniformly narrowing to the terminal peg).

The new species forms a clade with X. diversicaudatum (Micoletzky, 1927) Thorne, 1939 from group 5 in our phylogenetic trees. It is different from X. diversicaudatum by an anteriorly located vulva (V = 30.5-35.3 vs

<u>.</u>	
ă	
Þ	
ĽЗ	
)a	
<u>,</u>	
.5	
44	
ંગ	
ğ	
ar	
Ĵ	
÷	
1	
TI	
E	
ē	
Ξ	
÷	
Ц	
ts	
er	
Ē	
Ģ	
E	
as	
ē	
E	
<u> </u>	
dg	
8	
t m	
3	
nı	
p0	
ja	
a	
m	
ne	
hi	
p	
$\sim$	
$^{\rm or}$	
Ę	
ta	
Ja	
s	
.р	
Ť.	
ue ue	
8	
ą	
6	
ē	
Σ	
-	
ΕE	
AB	
Ĥ	

		Female	J2	J3	]4
Character/ratios	Holotype	Paratypes	Paratypes	Paratypes	Paratypes
n	1	6	67	<i>3</i> 9	7
L	3,289	$3,272 \pm 198.4 \ (2,965-3,757)$	$1,217 \pm 8.9 \ (1,211-1,224)$	$1,846 \pm 46.4 \ (1,779-1,889)$	$2,509.6 \pm 224.6 \ (2,262-2,813)$
а	72.2	$67.1 \pm 6.2 \ (56.2 - 75.8)$	$39.2 \pm 4.3 \ (36.1 - 42.2)$	$47.2 \pm 5.9 \ (42.6 - 53.8)$	$54.2 \pm 5.4 \ (45.9 - 62.5)$
b	7.0	$7.2 \pm 0.4 \ (6.6-7.7)$	$4.4 \pm 0.07 \ (4.3-4.4)$	$5.0 \pm 0.4 \ (4.6 - 5.4)$	$5.7 \pm 0.6 \ (4.8-6.6)$
с	62.1	$62 \pm 3.6 (55-69)$	$18.0 \pm 0.5 \ (17.6 - 18.3)$	$26.0 \pm 1.6 \ (24.6 - 27.8)$	$41.8 \pm 3.8 \ (38.5 - 48.4)$
c'	1.5	$1.5 \pm 0.1 \ (1.3-1.7)$	$3.3 \pm 0.4 (3.0 - 3.5)$	$2.8 \pm 0.3 \ (2.5 - 3.1)$	$1.9 \pm 0.2 \; (1.7 - 2.2)$
Λ	31.1	$32.2 \pm 1.4 \ (30.5 - 35.3)$	1	1	
Lip diam.	12.1	$12.0 \pm 0.5 \; (10.6 - 12.4)$	$8.3 \pm 0.3 \ (8.1 - 8.5)$	$9.7 \pm 0.8 \ (9.0 - 10.6)$	$10.7 \pm 0.2 \ (10.4 - 10.9)$
Lip height	4.5	$4.7 \pm 0.6 \ (4.0-5.6)$	$3.3 \pm 0.07 \ (3.2 - 3.3)$	$4.3 \pm 0.1 \ (4.3-4.4)$	$4.7 \pm 0.3 \ (4.3-5.0)$
Odontostyle	126.1	$122.6 \pm 2.3 \ (119-126)$	$61.1 \pm 1.5 \ (60.0 - 62.1)$	$84.0 \pm 1.9 \ (82.6 - 86.0)$	$104.3 \pm 3.5 \ (101.2 - 109.2)$
Odontophore	74.5	$72.6 \pm 3.5 \ (64.4-77)$	$44.6 \pm 1.0 \ (43.9 - 45.3)$	$51.5 \pm 0.8 \ (50.8 - 52.3)$	$62.9 \pm 3.5 \ (56.4 - 66.2)$
Total stylet	201.4	$195.2 \pm 3.6 \ (190-201.4)$	$106 \pm 0.1 \ (105.3 - 106.7)$	$135.3 \pm 2.6 \ (133.1 - 138.3)$	$167.3 \pm 3.0 \ (164.5 - 172.6)$
Replacement odontostyle		ı	$86.1 \pm 3.5 \ (83.6 - 88.6)$	$105.3 \pm 3.2 \ (101.8 - 108.1)$	$123.5 \pm 4.5 \ (117.4 - 128.3)$
Distance from anterior end to guide ring	121.0	$115.4 \pm 6.2 \ (100.5 - 121.7)$	$57.6 \pm 1.0 \ (56.9 - 58.3)$	$74.7 \pm 7.4 \ (67.0-81.7)$	$89.3 \pm 7.7 \ (78.9-99.6)$
Pharynx	468.8	$452.3 \pm 13.5 \ (431 - 469.6)$	$278.7 \pm 1.6 \ (277.5 - 279.8)$	$370.3 \pm 20.8 \ (348.6 - 390)$	$442.8 \pm 28.6 \ (414.0 - 489.8)$
Pharyngeal bulb length	109.1	$104.5 \pm 3.4 \ (100.1 - 110.2)$	$62.9 \pm 7.1 \ (57.8 - 67.9)$	$83.4 \pm 7.1 \ (75.4 - 88.6)$	$91.9 \pm 12.4 \ (74.4 - 104.5)$
Pharyngeal bulb diam.	25.1	$24.1 \pm 1.7 \ (22.1 - 27.7)$	$18.2 \pm 0.5 \ (17.8 - 18.5)$	$23.0 \pm 0.7 \ (22.2 - 23.5)$	$24.0 \pm 2.4 \ (20.4-26.8)$
Body diam.	45.5	$49.2 \pm 6.2 \ (41 - 58.2)$	$31.3 \pm 3.2 \ (29-33.6)$	$39.8 \pm 4.2 \ (35.1 - 43.3)$	$46.7 \pm 5.1 \ (37.7 - 51.4)$
Body diam. at guide ring	37.5	$38 \pm 1.2 \ (36.4 - 40.3)$	$20.4 \pm 1.1 \ (19.6 - 21.2)$	$29.6 \pm 3.3 \ (26.7 - 33.1)$	$35.5 \pm 3.0 \ (32.2 - 39.5)$
Body diam. at the base of pharynx	46.1	$46.3 \pm 3.7 \ (40-51.4)$	$30.3 \pm 1.8 \ (29-31.5)$	$37.2 \pm 4.2 \ (32.4 - 40.3)$	$43.8 \pm 4.1 \ (37-47.8)$
Body diam. at vulva	48.6	$49.3 \pm 5.1 \ (42.2 - 55.6)$	ı	ı	
Anterior genital branch length	328.0	$328.5 \pm 24.7 \ (286-363.0)$	ı	ı	
Posterior genital branch length	335.0	$380.6 \pm 62.8 \ (299-497)$	,		
Distance from anterior end to vulva	1,024	$1,054.2 \pm 70.1 \ (938-1,157)$	ı	ı	ı
Anal diam.	34.6	$34.6 \pm 2.7 \ (31.6 - 41.6)$	$20.9 \pm 2.7 \ (19-22.8)$	$26.7 \pm 3.4 \ (24.5 - 30.7)$	$31.2 \pm 2.3 \ (28.2 - 33.8)$
Tail	52.9	$53.0 \pm 2.0 \ (47.0-56.9)$	$67.9 \pm 1.3 \ (67 - 68.8)$	$71.2 \pm 6.1 \ (64.7 - 76.7)$	$58.8 \pm 4.9 \ (55.7 - 65.0)$
Hyaline tail part	16.1	$19.3 \pm 2.2 \ (15.5-23)$	$16.7 \pm 0.4 \; (16.4 - 16.9)$	$22.1 \pm 2.1 \ (20.1 - 24.3)$	$21.2 \pm 2.2 \ (18.9 - 24.7)$

39–46%), uterus characters (lacking any type of differentiation *vs* presence of irregular globular-shaped pseudo-Z organ), and tail shape (short conoid *vs* hemispherical).

Furthermore, the new species also showed close phylogenetic relationships (Figs. 4–7) with X. coxi europaeum Sturhan, 1985; X. globosum Sturhan, 1978; X. belmontense Roca and Pereira, 1992; X. turdetanense Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al., 2013; X. abrantinum Roca and Pereira, 1991; and X. baetica Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al. 2013, which are all in morphospecies group 5. However, it differs from these species by uterus differentiation (absent vs presence of variable-shaped pseudo-Z organ [i.e. irregular globules, granular structures, and irregular weakly sclerotized bodies] and some with pseudo-Z organ plus uterine spines).

In the ITS tree, the new specie is in close affinity with an unidentified *Xiphinema* population deposited in GenBank with the accession number DQ364686. The new species differs from this population reported in a dissertation by Wu (2007), from Shenyang City, Liaoning Province, China, mainly by its anteriorly located vulva (V = 30.5-35.3 vs 35.3-38.4%), tail shape (having a subdigitate peg vs having a slightly digitate terminus not forming a peg), and by uterus characters (lacking of differentiation vs having pseudo-Z organ).



FIG. 4. Bayesian consensus tree of *Xiphinema japonicum* n. sp. inferred from 18S under GTR+I+G model (-lnL = 3,332.0762; AIC = 6,682.1523; freqA = 0.1821; freqC = 0.209; freqG = 0.3285; freqT = 0.2804; R(a) = 1.4406; R(b) = 5.2581; R(c) = 2.6656; R(d) = 0.7382; R(e) = 5.2581; R(f) = 1; Pinva = 0.2316; and Shape = 0.4544). Posterior probability values exceeding 50% are given on appropriate clades.



FIG. 5. Bayesian consensus tree of *Xiphinema japonicum* n. sp. inferred from 28S D2/D3 under GTR+I+G model (-lnL = 1,0912.0693; AIC = 21,844.1387; freqA = 0.2463; freqC = 0.2277; freqG = 0.2969; freqT = 0.2291; R(a) = 0.9039; R(b) = 2.4909; R(c) = 2.4092; R(d) = 0.4557; R(e) = 3.9274; R(f) = 1; Pinva = 0.3185; Shape = 0.782). Posterior probability values exceeding 50% are given on appropriate clades.

*Molecular profiles and phylogenetic status:* Sequences of *Xiphinema japonicum* n. sp. were deposited in GenBank with the accession numbers KY131241-KY131243 (1704 bp for 18S), KY131244-KY131246 (1089 bp for ITS1), KY131240 and MF511179 (851 bp for 28S D2/D3), and KY628214 (447 bp for *COXI*), respectively. No sequence variation was observed among three individuals and between two populations (0535 and 0662). Phylogenetic analyses of *X. japonicum* n. sp. and other related species are presented in Figs. 4–7. The full length 18S sequences identities between the new species and *X. bakeri* (AY283173) from USA, *X. baetica* (KC567149), *X.* 

belmontense (KC567150), X. coxi europaeum (KC567152), X. diversicaudatum (JQ780348), X. globosum (GU549476), X. turdetanense (KC567155) are 99.4%, 99.2%, 99.6%, 99.6%, 99.4%, 99.2%, and 99.7%, respectively. ITS1 sequences identities between X. japonicum n. sp. and X. bakeri (KF292281) from USA, Xiphinema sp. (DQ364686), X. baetica (KC567157), X. belmontense (KC767158), X. coxi europaeum (KC567162), X. diversicaudatum (KF292282), X. setariae (AY430179), X. globosum (GU549475), X. turdetanense (KC567163) are 94.1%, 96%, 84.6%, 82.6%, 85.2%, 92.2%, 87%, 84.7%, and 71.4%, respectively. The 28S D2/D3 sequences identities between the new species



FIG. 6. Bayesian consensus tree of *Xiphinema japonicum* n. sp. inferred from ITS1 under GTR+I+G model (-lnL = 28,666.7617; AIC = 57,353.5234; freqA = 0.2666; freqC = 0.2103; freqG = 0.2581; freqT = 0.2649; R(a) = 0.8907; R(b) = 2.1925; R(c) = 1.3381; R(d) = 0.8292; R(e) = 2.6455; R(f) = 1; Pinva = 0.0888; and Shape = 2.1461). Posterior probability values exceeding 50% are given on appropriate clades.

and X. bakeri (AY601623), Xiphinema sp. (DQ364685), X. abrantinum (AY601625), X. baetica (KC567168), X. belmontense (KC567171), X. diversicaudatum (JQ780365), X. globosum (GU549474), and X. turdetanense (KC567186) are 98.5%, 99.3%, 96.8%, 95.9%, 95.4%, 98.9%, 96.5%, and 95.8%, respectively. A BLAST search using the 447 bp DNA fragment of COXI gene of X. japonicum n. sp. revealed that this species is most close to X. bakeri (KF292305 and KF292306) from the USA and X. diversicaudatum (GU222421) with 38–48 bp differences in aligned sequences and 87%–89% identity. In 140 aligned amino acid sequences of COXI encoded protein, X. japonicum n. sp. had 2 amino acid differences from *X. bakeri* and 3 amino acid differences from *X. diversicaudatum*. This large sequence divergence further supported *X. japonicum* n. sp. as a distinct species.

The 18S tree (Fig. 4) reconstructed from 64 sequences out of which 57 sequences belong to species of *Xiphinema* non-*americanum*-group, four from *americanum* group, and *Xiphidorus yepesara yepesara* Monteiro, 1976, *X. balcarceanus* Chaves and Coomans, 1984, and *Longidorus ferrisi* Robbins et al., 2009, sequences as out group taxa. The *X. americanum* group species appear distinct from species in *Xiphienma* non-*americanum* group. In this tree, the new species formed a moderately supported clade (PP = 71)



FIG. 7. Bayesian consensus tree of *Xiphinema japonicum* n. sp. inferred from *COXI* under GTR+I+G model (-lnL = 13,814.9082; AIC = 27,649.8164; freqA = 0.3355; freqC = 0.1459; freqG = 0.1281; freqT = 0.3905; R(a) = 0.796; R(b) = 9.4411; R(c) = 0.4133; R(d) = 1.205; R(e) = 12.7477; R(f) = 1; Pinva = 0.3913; and Shape = 0.5091). Posterior probability values exceeding 50% are given on appropriate clades.

with *X. bakeri* belonging to group 7. This clade is in the same fully supported clade with *X. diversicaudatum* and *X. turdetanense* in group 5 with 100% support. In general, the morphospecies groups are not monophyletic in this

phylogenetic tree and species belonging to group 7 are clustered in three different clades.

The 28S D2/D3 tree (Fig. 5) reconstructed from 81 sequences out of which 49 sequences belong to species

of Xiphinema non-americanum group, 30 from X. americanum group, and Longidorus vineacola Sturhan and Weischer, 1964 and L. helveticus Lamberti et al. 2001 sequences as out group taxa. In this tree, the Xiphinema americanum group species are in a highly supported clade. X. japonicum n. sp. is in a monophyletic group with X. bakeri with 99% support. This clade is close to some group 5 species including X. diversicaudatum, X. belmontense, X. baetica, X. abrantinum, X. globosum, X. turdetanense, and X. macroacanthum. As in 18S tree, the morphospecies groups are not monophyletic and species in group 7 are in four different clades. The 28S D2/D3 sequences of the new species are identical to a species recently intercepted in Shanghai from Acorus gramineus from Japan originally identified as X. bakeri (KU052864). Through personal communication with the submitter, this is the same species as X. japonicum n. sp.

The ITS1 tree (Fig. 6) was reconstructed from 53 sequences of Xiphinema non-americanum group species and Longidorus raskii Lamberti and Agostinelli, 1993, and L. elongatus (de Man, 1876) Micoletzky, 1922, sequences as out groups. Species in Xiphinema americanum group are not included in this analysis because their large dissimilarity results in numerous ambiguous sites in multiple alignments. The ITS tree placed X. *japonicum* n. sp. in the same clade with X. *bakeri* and X. diversicaudatum with 100% support. As in 18S tree and 28S tree, the morphospecies groups are not monophyletic and species belonging to group 7 are in four different clades. In this tree, the new species is in a clade with an unidentified Xiphinema species (DQ364686). However, ITS1 sequence identity of both species is 1056/1097(96%) with 17/1097(1%) gaps.

The *COXI* tree (Fig. 7) was reconstructed from 113 sequences, out of which 65 sequences belong to species of *Xiphinema* non *americanum* group. All species of *Xiphinema* non-*americanum* group are in a monophyletic group with 77% support, clearly separated from species in the *americanum* group. *Xiphinema japonicum* n. sp. is most close to *X. bakeri* and *X. diversicaudatum*. They are in a monophyletic group with 99% support. As in 18S, 28S, and ITS trees, the morphospecies groups are not monophyletic and species belonging to group 7 are in four different clades.

The Xiphinema non-americanum species included in the analysis represent all morphogroups except for group 3 as defined by Loof and Luc (1990). In all four phylogenetic trees, X. japonicum n. sp. is clustered with X. bakeri and species from group 5 which have V value more than 39%, amphidelphic reproductive system, and dorsally convex-conoid tail with subdigitate or digitate terminus.

*Xiphinema bakeri* was described from British Columbia, Canada, by Williams (1961) and has been reported from Arkansas, California, Florida, Iowa Illinois, Indiana, North Carolina, Kentucky, Oregon, Tennessee, Washington (Ye and Robbins, 2010), Canada (Sutherland and Sluggett, 1974), Japan (Yokoo, 1970; Hirata and Yuhara, 1986), and Korea (Lee and Han, 1976). It appears that *X. bakeri* is a native species to North America, the species recorded from Japan and Korea are very likely *X. japonicum* n. sp. and need reevaluation because no DNA sequences were available at the time.

### DISCUSSION

Nematodes comprise the most abundant and diverse metazoans on earth, with estimated number of species over a million (Lambshead, 1993), but only over 25,000 have been described (Hodda, 2011; Zhang, 2013). Nematodes tend to be highly conserved in gross morphology and cryptic species are common because of a lack of conspicuous differences in both the external appearance and internal structure (Palomares-Rius et al., 2014). Many cryptic species have been discovered in plant-parasitic nematodes, such as Globodera roschochiensis (Wollenweber, 1923) Behrens, 1975, G. pallida (Stone, 1973) Behrens, 1975, Meloidogyne chitwoodi Golden, O'Bannon, Santo & Finley, 1980, M. fallax Karssen, 1996, Radopholus similis (Cobb, 1893) Thorne, 1949, R. citrophilus Huettel, Dickson & Kaplan, 1984, Nacobbus aberrans sensu lato (Thorne, 1935) Thorne & Allen, 1944, Pratylenchus Filipjev, 1936, Rotylenchus Filipjev, 1936, Helicotylenchus Steiner, 1945, Longidorus Micoletzky, 1922, and Xiphinema Cobb, 1913 (Golden and Ellington, 1972; Karssen, 1996; Lax et al., 2014). Recently, cryptic species is also reported for tylenchids (Soleymanzadeh et al., 2016). In the genus Xiphinema, X. americanum group comprised of more than 55 species and are a good example of the cryptic species. They are all quite similar in morphology and often overlap in morphometric data. However, their species boundary was supported by molecular data and thus more species were described or re-established as valid species (Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez, 2010; 2012; Zasada et al., 2014; Archidona-Yuste et al., 2016a). The present study provided further evidence of cryptic species nature in Xiphinema.

Based on our nuclear and mitochondrial DNA sequences, X. japonicum n. sp. is most close to, but distinct from X. bakeri. It forms a well-supported monophyletic group with X. bakeri in morphospecies group 7 and X. diversicaudatum in group 5. The morphological and phylogenetic relationship between X. japonicum n. sp. and X. bakeri is congruent with morphospecies scheme provided by Loof and Luc (1990). Species in morphospecies group 7 and group 5 have very similar gross morphology, but can be differentiated by the absence (group 7)/presence (group 5) of pseudo-Z organ in female reproductive system. The Z organ is probably a primitive state which has been lost and regained during the evolution in this genus (Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al., 2013). The function of the Z organ has been suggested in keeping the egg in the uterus, forcing the slow passage of egg during shell formation and adding secretions to the egg shell (Grimaldi de Zio et al., 1979; Cho et al., 2000).

*Xiphinema diversicaudatum* was described from Saratov, Russia by Micoletzky (1927) and it has been reported from Canada (Mulvey, 1961), North America (Norton et al., 1984), New Zealand (Sturhan et al., 1997), Asia (Acharya et al., 1988; Mulawarman, 2008; Talezari et al., 2010), and Africa (Morkini et al., 2014). Earlier reports of this species suggested it was probably imported with plant material as this species is polyphagous and parasitizes various woody plants.

Roshan–Bakhsh et al. (2014) considered that the species of morphospecies group 5 are polyphyletic which is supported by the phylogenetic studies by Chizhov et al. (2014), who demonstrated that *X. diversicaudatum*, *X. bakeri*, *X. abrantinum*, *X. baetica*, and several other species from group 5 are related to each other and possibly originated from a common ancestor. *Xiphinema japonicum* n. sp. is grouped with several morphospecies from group 5 species all of which having similar tail shape and anteriorly located vulva. Hence, we agree with several other studies (Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al., 2013; Roshan-Bakhsh et al., 2014; Tzortzakakis et al., 2014) that the morphospecies groups were made for the convenience of identification but showed close relationship in evolutionary history.

In conclusion, this study provided morphological and molecular characterization of a dagger nematode intercepted from imported arhat pine from Japan, herein described as *X. japonicum* n. sp. belonging to morphospecies group 7. This Japanese species is mostly related to North American species *X. bakeri* with high similarity in gross morphology and morphometrics, but with significant differences in DNA sequences on ribosomal 18S, ITS1, 28S D2/D3, and mitochondrial *COXI* genes.

#### LITERATURE CITED

Acharya, A., Padhi, N. N., Swain, P. K., and Dash, S. C. 1988. Occurrence of nematodes on betelvine in Orissa. Indian Journal of Nematology 18:363.

Archidona-Yuste, A., Navas-Cortes, J. A., Cantalapiedra-Navarrete, C., Palomares-Rius, J. E., and Castillo, P. 2016a. Cryptic diversity and species delimitation in the *Xiphinema americanum*-group complex (Nematoda: Longidoridae) as inferred from morphometrics and molecular markers. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 176:231–265.

Archidona-Yuste, A., Navas-Cortes, J. A., Cantalapiedra-Navarrete, C., Palomares-Rius, J. E., and Castillo, P. 2016b. Remarkable diversity and prevalence of dagger nematodes of the genus *Xiphinema* Cobb, 1913 (Nematoda: Longidoridae) in olives revealed by integrative approaches. PLoS ONE 11(11):e0165412.

Brown, D. J. F., and Topham, P. B. 1985. Morphometric variability between populations of *Xiphinema diversicaudatum* (Nematoda: Dorylaimoidea). Revue de Nematology 8:15–26.

Behrens, E. 1975. *Globodera Skarbilovič*, 1959, eine selbständige Gattung in der Unterfamilie Heteroderinae Skarbilovic, 1947 (Nematoda:

Heteroderidae). Pp. 12–26 *in* Vortragstagung zum Aktuellen Problemen der Phytonematologie, Rostock: DDR.

Cherry, T., Szalanski, A. L., Todd, T. C., and Powers, T. O. 1997. The internal transcribed spacer region of *Belonolaimus* (Nemata: Belonolaimidae). Journal of Nematology 29:23–29.

Chaves, E., and Coomans, A. 1984. Three new species of *Xiphidorus* from Argentina, with comments on *Xiphinema sandellum* Heyns, 1968. Revue de Nematology 7:3–12.

Chizhov, V. N., Pridannikov, M. V., Peneva, V., and Subbotin, S. A. 2014. Morphological and molecular characterisation of the Saratov population of the European dagger nematode, *Xiphinema diversicau-datum* (Nematoda: Dorylaimida), with notes on phylogeography of the species. Nematology 16:847–862.

Cho, M. R., Robbins, R. T., and Kim, K. S. 2000. Ultrastructure of the Z organ and parts of the female genital tract in *Xiphinema* coxi coxi. Journal of Nematology 32:245–252.

Cobb, N. A. 1893. Nematodes, Mostly Australian and Fijian. Macleay Mem. Vol., Linn. Soc. NSW, 252–308, Figs 1–10.

Cobb, N. A. 1913. A new nematode genera found inhabiting fresh water and non brackish soils. Journal of Washington Academy of Sciences 3:432–444.

Coomans, A., Huys, R., Heyns, J., and Luc, M. 2001. Character analysis, phylogeny and biogeography of the genus *Xiphinema* cobb, 1913 (Nematoda: Longidoridae). Annales du Musée Royal de l Afrique Centrale (Zoologie), Tervuren. Belgique 287:1–239.

Decraemer, W., and Hunt, D. J. 2006. Structure and classification. Pp. 3–32 *in* R. N. Perry, and M. Moens, eds. Plant Nematology. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing.

Decraemer, W., and Robbins, R. T. 2007. The who, what and where of Longidoridae and Trichodoridae. Journal of Nematology 39:295–297.

De Ley, P., Félix, M. A., Frisse, L. M., Nadler, S. A., Sternberg, P. W., and Thomas, W. K. 1999. Molecular and morphological characterisation of two reproductively isolated species with mirror-image anatomy (Nematoda: Cephalobidae). Nematology 1:591–612.

Ferris, V. R., Ferris, J. M., and Faghihi, J. 1993. Variation in spacer ribosomal DNA in some cyst forming species of plant parasitic nematodes. Fundamental and Applied Nematology 16:177–184.

Filipjev, I. N. 1936. On the classification of the Tylenchinae. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 3:80–82.

Ghaemi, R., Pourjam, E., Pedram, M., Robbins, R. T., Ye, W., and Decraemer, W. 2012. Morphological and molecular characterisation of *Xiphinema zagrosense* sp. n. (Dorylaimida: Longidoridae) from Zagros Mountains. Nematology 14:445–455.

Golden, A. M., and Ellington, D. M. S. 1972. Redescription of *Heterodera rostochiensis* (Nematoda: Heteroderidae) with a key and notes on closely related species. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 39:64–78.

Golden, A. M., O'Bannon, H., Santo, G. S., and Finley, A. M. 1980. Description and SEM observations of *Meoidogyne chitwoodi* n.sp. (Meloidogynidae), a root-knot nematode on potato in the Pacific Northwest. Journal of Nematology 12:319–327.

Grimaldi de Zio, S., D'Addabbo-Gallo, M., Lamberti, F., and Morone de Lucia, M. R. 1979. The 'Z' differentiation in *Xiphinema*: A hypothesis of its function in relation to amphigony. Nematologica 25:36–41.

Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez, C., Palomares-Rius, J. E., CantalapiedraNavarrete, C., Landa, B. B., Esmenjaud, D., and Castillo, P. 2010. Molecular analysis and comparative morphology to resolve a complex of cryptic *Xiphinema* species. Zoologica Scripta 39:483–498.

Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez, C., Cantalapiedra-Navarrete, C., Decraemer, W., Vovlas, N., Prior, T., Palomares-Rius, J. E., and Castillo, P. 2012. Phylogeny, diversity, and species delimitation in some species of the *Xiphinema americanum*-group complex (Nematoda: Longidoridae), as inferred from nuclear and mitochondrial DNA sequences and morphology. European Journal of Plant Pathology 134:561–597. Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez, C., Cantalapiedra-Navarrete, C., Remesal, E., Palomares-Rius, J. E., Navas-Cortes, J. A., and Castillo, P. 2013. New insight into the identification and molecular phylogeny of dagger nematodes of the genus *Xiphinema* (Nematoda: Longidoridae) with description of two new species. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 169:548–579.

Hodda, M. 2011. Phylum Nematoda Cobb, 1932. *in* Zhang, Z.-Q. (ed.) Animal biodiversity: An outline of higher-level classification and survey of taxonomic richness. Zootaxa 3148:63–95.

Hirata, K., and Yuhara, I. 1986. Plant parasitic nematodes detected from Bonsai trees and Bonsai nurseries. Research Bulletin of the Plant Protection Service, Japan 22:111–117.

Hu, M., Chilton, N. B., Zhu, X., and Gasser, R. B. 2002. Singlestrand conformation polymorphism-based analysis of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 reveals significant substructuring in hookworm populations. Electrophoresis 23:27–34.

Huettel, R. N., and Yaegashi, T. 1988. Morphological differences between *Radopholus citrophilus* and *R. similis*. Journal of Nematology 20:150–157.

Huelsenbeck, J. P., and Ronquist, F. 2001. Mr Bayes: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17:1754–1755.

Karssen, G. 1996. Description of *Meloidogyne fallax* n. sp. (Nematoda: Heteroderidae), a root-knot nematode from the Netherlands. Fundamental and Applied Nematology 19:593–599.

Lambshead, P. J. D. 1993. Recent developments in marine benthic biodiversity research. Oceanis 19:5-24.

Larget, B., and Simon, D. L. 1999. Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithms for the Bayesian analysis of phylogenetic trees. Molecular Biology and Evolution 16:750–759.

Lamberti, F., and Agostinelli, A. 1993. *Longidorus raskii* sp. n. (Nematoda: Dorylaimida) from Switzerland. Nematologia Meditterranea 21:243–246.

Lamberti, F., Kunz, P., Grunder, J., Molinari, S., Luca, F. D., Agostinelli, A., and Radicci, V. 2001. Molecular characterization of six *Longidorus* species from Switzerland with the description of *Longidorus helveticus* sp. n. (Nematoda, Dorylaimida). Nematologia Mediterranea 29:181–205.

Lax, P., Duenas, J. C. R., Gardenal, C. N., and Doucet, M. E. 2014. Phylogenetic relationships among populations of the *Nacobbus aberrans* (Nematoda, Pratylenchidae) complex reveal the existence of cryptic species. Zoologica Scripta 43:184–192.

Lee, Y. B., and Han, S. C. 1976. The nematode genus *Xiphinema* (Dorylaimida: Longidoridae) from Korea. Korean Journal of Plant Protection 15:17–21.

Li, H., Trinh, P. Q., Waeyenberge, L., and Moens, M. 2008. *Bursaphelenchus chengi* sp. n. (Nematoda: Parasitaphelenchidae) isolated at Nanjing, China, in packaging wood from Taiwan. Nematology 10:335–346.

Loof, P. A. A., and Luc, M. 1990. A revised polytomous key for the identification of species of the genus *Xiphinema* Cobb, 1913 (Nematoda: Longidoridae) with exclusion of the *Xiphinema americanum*-group. Systematic Parasitology 16:35–66.

Loof, P. A. A., Luc, M., and Baujard, P. 1996. A revised polytomous key for the identification of species of the genus *Xiphinema* Cobb, 1913 (Nematoda: Longidoridae) with exclusion of the *X. americanum*-group: Supplement 2. Systematic Parasitology 33:23–29.

Luc, M. 1958. Xiphinema de l'ouest africain: description de cinq nouvelles especes (Nematoda: Dorylaimidae). Nematologica 3:57-72.

Micoletzky, H. 1922. Die freilebenden Erd-Nematoden. Archiv für Naturgreschichte. Berlin Abt. A 87:1–650.

Micoletzky, H. 1927. *Xiphinema diversicaudatuam*. C.I.H. Descriptions of plant parasitic nematodes Set 4, No. 6. Farnham Royal, UK, Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux.

Mokrini, F., Abbad Andaloussi, F., Waeyenberge, L., Viaene, N., and Moens, M. 2014. First report of the dagger nematode *Xiphinema diversicaudatum* in citrus orchards in Morocco. Plant Disease 98:575.

Monteiro, A. R. 1976. *Xiphidorus yepesara* n. gen., n. sp. (Nematoda: Longidoridae), from Brazil. Nematologica Meditterranea 4:1-6.

Mulawarman. 2008. *Rotylenchus buxophilus* and *Xiphinema diversicaudatum* and their control with chitin formulation in palm oil rhizosphere in South Sumatra, Indonesia. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences 1:38–41.

Mulvey, R. H. 1961. Some records of plant-parasitic nematodes encountered in Canada in 1961. Canadian Plant Disease Survey 41:357–358.

Norton, D. C., Donald, P., Kimpinski, J., Meyers, R. F., Noel, G. R., Noffsinger, E. M., Robbins, R. T., Schmitt, D. C., SosaMoss, C., and Vrain, T. C. 1984. Distribution of plant-parasitic nematodes in North America. Hyattsville, MD, Society of Nematologists.

Palomares-Rius, J. E., Cantalapiedra-Navarrete, C., and Castillo, P. 2014. Cryptic species in plant-parasitic nematodes. Nematology 16:1105–1118.

Penas, A. C., Metge, K., Mota, M., and Valadas, V. 2006. Bursaphelenchus antoniae sp. n. (Nematoda: Parasitaphelenchidae) associated with Hylobius sp. from Pinus pinaster in Portugal. Nematology 8:659– 669.

Peraza-Padilla, W., Archidona-Yuste, A., Ferris, H., Zamora-Araya, T., Cantalapiedra-Navarrete, C., Palomares-Rius, J. E., Subbotin, S. A., and Castillo, P. 2017. Molecular characterization of pseudomonodelphic dagger nematodes of the genus *Xiphinema* Cobb, 1913 (Nematoda: Longidoridae) in Costa Rica, with notes on *Xiphinema setariae* Tarjan, 1964. European Journal of Plant Pathology 148:739–747.

Posada, D., and Criandall, K. A. 1998. Modeltest: Testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14:817–818.

Robbins, R. T., Ye, W., and Pedram, M. 2009. *Longidorus ferrisi* n. sp. from California Citrus. Journal of Nematology 41:104–110.

Roca, F., and Pereira, M. J. 1991. *Xiphinema abrantinum* sp. n. (Nematoda: Longidoridae) from Portugal. Revue de Nematology 14:485–490.

Roca, F., and Pereira, M. J. 1992. *Xiphinema belmontense* sp. n. (Nematoda: Longidoridae) from Portugal. Fundamental and Applied Nematology 15:251–255.

Roshan-Bakhsh, A., Pourjam, E., Pedram, M., Robbins, R. T., and Decraemer, W. 2014. Description of *Xiphinema castilloi* sp. n. (Dorylaimida: Longidoridae) from Iran and its phylogenetic relationships. Nematology 16:359–368.

Soleymanzadeh, M., Pedram, M., Pourjam, E., and Álvarez-Ortega, S. 2016. Description of *Lelenchus brevislitus* n. sp. (Nematoda: Tylenchidae), an example of a cryptic species from Iran and its phylogenetic relationships with other species in the family. Nematology 18:987–998.

Steiner, G. 1945. *Helicotylenchus*, a new genus of plant-parasitic nematodes and its relationship to *Rotylenchus* Filipjev. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 12:34–38.

Seinhorst, J. W. 1959. A rapid method for the transfer of nematodes from fixative to anhydrous glycerin. Nematologica 4:67–69.

Stone, A. R. 1973. *Heterodera pallida* n. sp. (Nematoda: Heteroderidae), a second species of potato cyst nematode. Nematologica 18:591–606.

Sturhan, D., and Weischer, R. 1964. *Longidorus vineacola* n. sp. (Nemaroda: Dorylaimidae). Nematologica 10:335–341.

Sturhan, D. 1978. Zwei neue Xiphinema-Arten aus Deutschland (Nematoda: Dorylaimida). Nematologica 24:19–28.

Sturhan, D. 1985. Untersuchungen uber den *Xiphinema* coxi-komplex (Nematoda: Longidoridae). Nematologica 30:305–323.

Sturhan, D., Wouts, W. M., Grandison, G. S., and Barber, C. J. 1997. Nematode vectors of plant viruses in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Zoology 24:309–322.

Sutherland, J. R., and Sluggett, L. J. 1974. Time, temperature, and soil moisture effects on *Xiphinema bakeri*: Nematode survival in fallow soil. Phytopathology 64:507–513.

Talezari, A., Khozeini, F., Barouti, S., and Zamanizadeh, H. 2010. Detection and distribution of Longidoridae and Trichodoridae nematodes from Golestan National park of Iran. Phytopathology 100(Suppl.):S124. Taylor, C. E., and Brown, D. J. F. 1997. Nematode vectors of plant viruses. Wallingford, UK: CAB International.

Thorne, G. 1949. On the classification of the *Tylenchida*, new order (Nematoda, Phasmidia). Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 16:37–73.

Thorne, G. 1939. A Monograph of the nematodes of the superfamily Dorylaimoidea. Capita Zoologica 8:1–261.

Thorne, G., and Allen, M. W. 1944. *Nacobbus dorsalis*, nov. gen., nov. spec. (Nematoda: Tylenchidae) producing galls on the roots of alfileria, *Erodium cicutarium* (L.) L'Hér. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington 11:27–31.

Tzortzakakis, E. A., Archidona-Yuste, A., Cantalapiedra-Navarrete, C., Nasiou, E., Lazanaki, M. S., Kabourakis, E. M., Palomares-Rius, J. E., and Castillo, P. 2014. Integrative diagnosis and molecular phylogeny of dagger and needle nematodes of olives and grapevines in the island of Crete, Greece, with description of *Xiphinema cretense* n. sp. (Nematoda: Longidoridae). European Journal of Plant Pathology 3:563–590.

Williams, T. D. 1961. *Xiphinema bakeri* n. sp. (Nematoda: Longidorivae) from the Fraser river Valley, British Columbia, Canada. Canadian Journal of Zoology 39:407–412.

Wu, Y. 2007. Morphological and molecular identification of major Xiphinema species occurring in China. Doctoral dissertation, Zhejiang University. Retrieved from http://kns.cnki.net/KCMS/detail/detail.aspx? dbcode=CDFD&dbname=CDFD9908&filename=2007090293.nh&uid= WEEvREcwSIJHSIdRa1FhcTdWZDIrQWxGRFZZb3B3clhkVkpYUzBha2hMUT0=\$9A4hF\_YAuvQ5obgVAqNKPCYcEjKensW4ggI8Fm4gTkoU-KaID8j8gFw!!&v=Mjc1NjBIWDFMdXhZUzdEaDFUM3FUcldNMU-ZyQ1VSTDJmWStkbkZ5dmtVTHpLVjEyN0diT3hIdFBGckpFYIBJUjg.

Ye, W., Giblin-Davis, R. M., Braasch, H., Morris, K., and Thomas, W. K. 2007. Phylogenetic relationships among *Bursaphelenchus* species (Nematoda: Parasitaphelenchidae) inferred from nuclear ribosomal and mitochondrial DNA sequence data. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 43:1185–1197.

Ye, W., and Robbins, R. T. 2010. Morphology and taxonomy of *Xiphinema* (Nematoda: Longidoridae) occurring in Arkansas, USA. Acta Agriculturae Universitatis Jiangxiensis 32:928–945.

Yokoo, T. 1970. Soil nematological notes II. Agricultural Bulletin, Faculty of Agriculture, Saga University 29:15–28.

Zasada, I. A., Peetz, A., Howe, D. K., Wilhelm, L. J., Cheam, D., Denver, D. R., and Smythe, A. B. 2014. Using mitogenomic and nuclear ribosomal sequence data to investigate the phylogeny of the *Xiphinema americanum* species complex. Plos One 9:90035. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090035.

Zhang, Z. 2013. Animal biodiversity: An update of classification and diversity in 2013. *in* Zhang, Z. Q. (ed.) Animal biodiversity: An outline of higher-level classification and survey of taxonomic richness (Addenda 2013). Zootaxa 3703:5–11.