

# Cob Flies, *Megaselia* spp. (Diptera: Phoridae), in Sweet Corn<sup>1</sup>

David Owens, Gregg S. Nuessly, Robert Beiriger, and Nicholas Larsen<sup>2</sup>

## Introduction

Phorid flies (Diptera), also known as humpback flies or scuttle flies for their appearance and behavior, are an extremely diverse group of flies that are saprophagous (feed on decaying organic matter), parasitic, or phytophagous (feed on plants). Within the Phoridae family, the genus *Megaselia* is also extremely diverse, with more than 1400 described species, many very similar in appearance. The name “cob fly” was given to a *Megaselia* spp. that attacked corn in Texas (Walter and Wene 1951). To date, only one described species (*Megaselia seticauda* Malloch) has been observed to damage sweet corn. This species was originally identified as the ubiquitous saprophagous fly *Megaselia scalaris*, but was later correctly identified as *M. seticauda* (C DFA 1996).

## Geographical Distribution

*Megaselia seticauda* was first collected in Costa Rica from an area cleared for pasture, corn, and potatoes (Cresson and Malloch 1914). There are reports of damage to immature “green corn” ears from Texas (1944), Mexico (1942), and Ecuador (1954). It has been collected in Brazil (1962), and it was detected in California in 1996 (Walter and Wene 1951, Borgmeier 1962, C DFA 1996).

In Texas, *M. seticauda* was found in a few corn ears in 1944, but by 1950, fields that were not treated with DDT for corn earworm were severely infested with both *M. seticauda* and

the silk fly *Euxesta stigmatias* (Diptera: Ulidiidae). Larvae of both *E. stigmatias* and *M. seticauda* were reported as being relatively unaffected by DDT spray residues, leading to the recommendation to treat adults (Walter and Wene 1951).

In Florida, a *Megaselia* spp., possibly *M. seticauda*, has sporadically been found over the last 8 years in sweet and field corn ears that were not protected by insecticides and were grown during the late spring dry and summer rainy seasons. In early April 2016, ears of early-silking sweet corn in a variety trial at the UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center in Belle Glade, FL, were heavily damaged by phorid larvae. The field had low silk fly populations and was treated only once when all ears had begun silking with an organophosphate insecticide. These phorid larvae feed on silks, cobs, and kernels as do silk flies, but phorid larvae develop faster and cause damage quicker than silk fly. When observed in a field immediately before silking, these phorids should be regarded as an economic concern, and this document was written with the intent of helping crop consultants identify this fly. The following information is based on our field and laboratory observations of live immature and adult stages of this fly species. There are very little data on the economics, population dynamics, seasonality, or insecticide susceptibility of corn-infesting *Megaselia* spp. More research is needed to improve our understanding of how this fly impacts Florida sweet corn production.

1. This document is ENY-754, one of a series of the Department of Entomology and Nematology, UF/IFAS Extension. Original publication date August 2016. Visit the EDIS website at <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu>.

2. David Owens, graduate research assistant; Gregg S. Nuessly, professor and center director; Robert Beiriger, sr. biological scientist, plant breeding; and Nicholas Larsen, senior biological scientist; Department of Entomology and Nematology, UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center, 3200 E. Palm Beach Rd., Belle Glade, FL 33430.

## Distinguishing Cob Fly from Similar Corn Ear Pests

### Egg

Dozens of cob fly eggs are deposited in the silks at tip of corn husks. There have been reports of fly eggs deposited on husk leaves before silks emerge; these may be either cob fly or silk fly eggs.

### Larvae

In ears of corn, larvae of phorids can be distinguished from larvae of silk flies by the tapering shape of the posterior abdomen (Figure 1). In contrast, silk fly larval abdomens terminate bluntly, and two dark-colored, peg-like spiracular (breathing tube opening) plates can be easily seen at the end of the abdomen (<https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/in381>). These spiracular plates are not present in cob fly larvae. Phorids retain their white coloration throughout the larval stage, while silk flies darken to a yellow color in the third instar. When disturbed, silk fly larvae will grab their spiracular plates with their mouth hooks on the head, flex, and jump several centimeters. Phorid larvae do not exhibit this behavior.



Figure 1. Two phorid larvae. The posterior end (pointing up) is tapered and spiracles cannot be easily observed as they are with silk fly. Mucous trail of larvae is visible on the cloth beneath the larvae. Credits: D. Owens, UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center, Belle Glade, FL

When the larvae emerge from the eggs, they quickly enter the ear to feed on silks, cob, and kernels. Cob fly larvae can be observed as a larval “mass” that feeds through the silks

and destroys them before reaching the ear tip (Figures 2 and 3). Larvae develop and damage silks quickly enough to interfere with pollination. Once they reach the ear, larvae feed on the cob and developing kernels at the tip (Figures 4 and 5). Cob flies leave the ear 10–14 days after first silk, usually before blister stage, by leaving a visible shiny mucous trail on the drying silks (Figure 6). For comparison, silk fly larvae are still developing in the ear until the ear is in milk stage and ready for harvest. Silk flies require 12–19 days from oviposition to mature and leave the ear. Silk flies also do not leave a mucous trail when they exit ears. In April 2016, cob fly damage was also observed on ears and tassels of plants that developed as suckers from the main plant, as well as on secondary and tertiary ears beneath the primary ear on the main shoots.



Figure 2. A cluster of phorid larvae (5 visible) feeding on the silks at the entrance to the silk channel. Brown discoloration of the silks caused by phorid larvae feeding. Credits: D. Owens, UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center, Belle Glade, FL



Figure 3. Dozens of phorid larvae that have destroyed the silk channel. Note the white, tapered posterior of the phorid larva in the right third of the picture. Credits: D. Owens, UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center, Belle Glade, FL





Figure 4. Cob fly larva feeding between two kernels at the tip of an ear. Note the white, tapered posterior.  
Credits: D. Owens, UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center, Belle Glade, FL



Figure 5. Cob fly larvae feeding within kernel and surrounding fly-damaged kernels.  
Credits: G. S. Nuessly, UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center, Belle Glade, FL



Figure 6. Shiny, white mucous trails left behind as cob fly maggots leave the ear. These trails are not produced by silk flies (*Euxesta* or *Chaetopsis* spp.).  
Credits: G. S. Nuessly, UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center, Belle Glade, FL

## Pupae

Most cob fly larvae leave the ear at the end of larval development (end of the 3<sup>rd</sup> instar) and pupate in shallow soil. Occasionally, pupae can be found in the dried silks or rarely between kernels in the ear. Pupae are light brown, somewhat triangular and noticeably flattened, with ridges across the surface. Two narrow, black respiratory horns are prominently displayed on the posterior end (Figure 7). Silk fly pupae, which are reddish brown, elongate, cylindrical, and only slightly flattened with the anterior end tapered to a blunt point, are easily separated from these phorid pupae. Silk fly pupae have large black oval spiracles at the posterior end. We do not currently know how long pupal development requires in the field.



Figure 7. A cob fly pupa with head end upward in a sweet corn ear at harvest. Pupae are light brown, somewhat triangular in side profile, and ridged. Two narrow, black respiratory horns project outward from the posterior third of the pupae.

Credits: D. Owens, UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center, Belle Glade, FL

## Adult

Adult cob flies are 2–3 mm in length, about half the length of silk flies (4–7 mm). Adult phorids are light brown with dark brown to black markings on the dorsal side of the abdomen. Hind femurs have a dark pigmentation at the end. These corn-feeding phorids have no dark bands across their wings, further distinguishing themselves from silk flies. Phorid wing venation is very distinct, with heavy veins curving to meet the wing margin about half way between the wing tip and the body. Smaller, lighter veins radiate out from the thick veins. The head is small and has large, black bristles (Figures 8 and 9). Phorid flies have a very distinctive locomotion. They move very quickly in a “herky-jerky” fashion characterized by short, rapid running, a pause, a turn, and more short, rapid running.





Figure 8. Female (left) and male (right) cob fly adults reared from infested ears. Note dark pattern on upper surface of the abdomens, strong bristles on the heads, and absence of dark wing bands. There is also a dark spot at the end of femurs on the hind (third) legs. Wing venation with thickened veins on the anterior margin is characteristic for the family. Bars above flies are 1 mm apart.

Credits: D. Owens, UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center, Belle Glade, FL



Figure 9. A gravid female cob fly moving rapidly among healthy, undamaged silks during the oviposition process. Note dark brown pattern on dorsal surface of abdomen.

Credits: D. Owens, UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center, Belle Glade, FL

## Damage

This fly has been observed damaging field and sweet corn in the summer in small untreated corn plots at the UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center. However, in 2016, cob fly larvae appeared in early April, considerably earlier than previously observed. Cob fly damage was widespread to ears of early varieties less than 7 days after first silk. Damaged silk tissue is reddish brown to brown (Figure 10). Heavily damaged silk appears wet and slimy and may be a site of secondary infection by pathogens. Severe tip damage can occur on ears that are still at least one week to ten days from harvest (Figure 11). Large populations and heavy damage occurred in another experimental field less than 5 days after first silk at the end of May. Field corn ears

infested with phorid larvae frequently display pollination disruption with the top 25 to 50% of the cob bare of kernels at harvest (Figure 12). Because larvae develop faster and leave the ear earlier than silk fly, it is possible that phorids are partly responsible for the damage often attributed to silk fly.



Figure 10. Silk damage at the tip of a phorid-infested ear.

Credits: D. Owens, UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center, Belle Glade, FL



Figure 11. An ear with extensive cob fly larval damage to the silks, kernels and cob. Larvae have exited the ear to complete development.

Credits: D. Owens, UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center, Belle Glade, FL



Figure 12. Field corn ears at harvest with exposed cobs showing poor pollination resulting from cob fly larval damage to silks.

Credits: G. S. Nuessly, UF/IFAS Everglades Research and Education Center, Belle Glade, FL

## Management

Scouting corn for cob flies should begin at tassel-push (as the tassel emerges from the plant at the beginning of the reproductive stage). Treatment may be justified prior to first silk or as early as ear shoot emergence, if phorids adults are present. Experimental fields at UF/IFAS EREC that received multiple insecticide applications per week for silk fly control had reduced cob fly damage. Therefore, contact insecticides used for silk fly management may provide cob fly control. Bioassays to evaluate insecticide efficacy against cob flies have not yet been performed. Contact the authors or your local UF/IFAS Extension agent if you think you have cob flies in your corn so that more data can be collected on these flies.

## References

- Borgmeier, T. 1962. "Versuch einer Uebersicht euber die neotropischen *Megaselia*-Arten, sowie neue oder wenig bekannte Phoriden verschiedener Gattungen (Dipt. Phoridae)." *Studia Ent. Petropolis* 5: 289–488.
- California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA). 1996. *California plant pest and disease report*, v 15: 150–181. Ed. R. J. Gill. [https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/plant/ppd/PDF/CPPDR\\_1996\\_15\\_5-6.pdf](https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/plant/ppd/PDF/CPPDR_1996_15_5-6.pdf). Verified 22 April 2016.
- Cresson, E. T., Jr., and J. R. Malloch. 1914. Costa Rican Diptera collected by Philip P. Calvert, Ph.D., 1909–1910. "A partial report on the Borboridae, Phoridae, and Agromyziidae." *Trans. Am. Entomol. Soc.* 40: 1–36.
- Walter, E. V., and G. P. Wene. 1951. "Tests of insecticides to control larvae of *Euxesta stigmatias* and *Megaselia scalaris*." *J. Econ. Entomol.* 44: 998–999.