



## The Costs of Managing an Urban Forest<sup>1</sup>

---

Francisco Escobedo and Jennifer Seitz<sup>2</sup>

Urban forests provide numerous benefits to society. They improve human health, environmental quality, and even local economies by increasing property values and aesthetics in communities. Research has shown that urban forests help cities control storm water, reduce air pollution and energy costs, and offset carbon dioxide emissions. Urban forests, however, do present some associated costs of their own, so we need to manage for and mitigate their occasional harmful effects on natural forests and people (Table 1) (Lyytimäki and others 2008). Understanding these costs is just as important as determining the benefits of an urban forest. An accurate assessment of an urban forest's costs can assist decision makers to better understand the role the forest plays in improving the well-being of the community. Identifying how funding is used can also help communities minimize costs and increase benefits. This fact sheet will review some of the types of costs associated with urban forests and present typical financial costs associated with urban forest management in the city of Gainesville, Florida.

### ***Examples of Economic and Financial Costs***

Urban trees require cities to invest in personnel, equipment, gasoline, and other maintenance necessities. According to a national study from the 1980s, the size of the city had no relation to the percentage of the budget allocated to tree care, but the region in which the city was located did (Kielbaso and others 1988). In 1986, the United State's national mean annual expenditure was \$10.62 per public tree and approximately 0.5 percent of the total municipal budget was allocated for tree care. Thirty percent of the total tree care budget was allocated to pruning, 28 percent to removal and disposal, and 14 percent to plantings. Larger cities devoted more to administrative expenses than did smaller cities. For example, urban forest management expenditures in Modesto, California (population 183,000), which has a temperate, Mediterranean climate, represented 2 percent of the city's total operating budget (McPherson and others 1999). Total annual costs for urban forest maintenance cannot be predicted based on population alone since those costs vary according to many other variables such as weather conditions and local policies and objectives.

- 
1. This document is FOR217, one of a series of the School of Forest Resources and Conservation Department, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. Original publication date July 2009. Visit the EDIS Web Site at <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu>.
  2. Francisco Escobedo, assistant professor, School of Forest Resources and Conservation; and Jennifer Seitz, waste alternatives education coordinator for Alachua County, former Extension associate, School of Forest Resources and Conservation, University of Florida.

The Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS) is an Equal Opportunity Institution authorized to provide research, educational information and other services only to individuals and institutions that function with non-discrimination with respect to race, creed, color, religion, age, disability, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, political opinions or affiliations. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Cooperative Extension Service, University of Florida, IFAS, Florida A. & M. University Cooperative Extension Program, and Boards of County Commissioners Cooperating. Millie Ferrer, Interim Dean

**Table 1.** Types and Examples of Common Costs Associated with Urban Forest Management.

<b>Types of Costs</b>	<b>Examples</b>
<b>Economic and Financial</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pruning, planting, replacement, and removal of trees; emergency transplants; pest and disease management; and irrigation</li> <li>• Damage to urban infrastructure (telephone and electricity cables, sidewalks, roads, private property)</li> <li>• Higher property taxes when trees increase home values</li> <li>• Foregone real estate revenue</li> <li>• Increased energy use when trees block sunlight</li> <li>• Storm debris and tree litter removal</li> <li>• Medical care costs due to illness, bites, etc.</li> </ul>
<b>Social Nuisances</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allergenic plant structures (particularly pollen)</li> <li>• Habitat for disease vectors (mosquitoes, ticks)</li> <li>• Undesirable wildlife</li> <li>• Obscured views, foregone opportunities (gardening, sports), and unattractiveness</li> <li>• Crime, risks, and hazards to humans from trees</li> <li>• Increased wildfire risk</li> </ul>
<b>Environmental Degradation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduced water quantity, quality; increased consumption</li> <li>• Increased use of fertilizers</li> <li>• Increased energy consumption due to maintenance</li> <li>• Increased air pollution emissions from tree management and maintenance activities</li> <li>• Volatile Organic Compounds and other emissions from plants that can indirectly create smog</li> <li>• Displacement of native species and ecosystems by establishment of urban forests</li> </ul>

In 2007 it cost \$1,559,932 to care for Gainesville's public urban forests or approximately \$10.57 per public tree (assuming approximately 3 million trees larger than 1 inch in diameter). National estimates for tree costs range from \$12.87 to \$65 per tree (McPherson and others 2005). Table 2 presents some typical costs associated with management of public urban forests in the city of Gainesville, Florida. Annual cost information was acquired from on-site interviews, e-mail and written correspondence and phone calls with the city arborist, Gainesville Regional Utilities (GRU), the Public Works Department, and the city claims adjustor.

Additional costs include the emission of carbon dioxide and other pollutants as a result of maintenance activities using fossil-fuel-burning equipment (Table 3). Other costs derive from the disadvantages to people from trees on their property. Trees generate litter, falling fruit and pollen that can aggravate allergies and accumulate on vehicles and other property (for more information read *Urban Trees and Allergies in North Florida, FOR 206*); trees provide habitat for undesirable species of wildlife and insects; they can damage buildings and infrastructure in windstorms and through natural growth; and proper

**Table 2.** Annual financial costs of management activities for the city of Gainesville Florida's public urban forest based on 2007 estimates.

<b>Expenditure Item</b>	<b>Cost<sup>1</sup></b>
<b>Total municipal budget for entire city</b>	\$92,183,600
<b>Planting (public and private through outreach program)</b>	\$ 695,470
<b>Pruning</b>	\$ 240,270

**Table 2.** Annual financial costs of management activities for the city of Gainesville Florida's public urban forest based on 2007 estimates.

<b>Pest and disease control</b>	\$ 626
<b>Establishment and irrigation</b>	\$ 37,540
<b>Stump removal and disposal</b>	\$ 134,700 <sup>3</sup>
<b>Repair infrastructure damage</b>	\$ 285,000 <sup>4,5</sup>
<b>Litigation and settlements due to tree-related claims</b>	\$ 5,000 <sup>2</sup>
<b>Storm/litter clean-up</b>	\$ 73,550
<b>Inspection/answer service requests</b>	\$ 31,090
<b>Program administration</b>	\$ 40,640
<b>Outreach and grants</b>	\$ 16,040
From: <sup>1</sup> M. Niederhofer, Interview, November 27, 2007, <sup>2</sup> C. Luster, Interview, November 28, 2007, <sup>3</sup> S. Joplin, Interview, November 28, 2007. <sup>4</sup> J. Sparks, Interview, November 28, 2007. <sup>5</sup> M. Gaines, Interview, December 10, 2007.	

tree maintenance and tree removal can be both costly and time consuming for property owners (Escobedo and others 2008). In general, unmaintained trees growing in vacant and natural forested areas incur very few if any of these costs.

**Table 3.** Carbon Emissions from Common Tree Maintenance Equipment.

<b>Equipment</b>	<b>Carbon emissions</b>
Chainsaws of less than 4 horsepower	1.5 kg /hour
Chainsaws of greater than 4 horsepower	3.2 kg /hour
Aerial lift trucks	3.2 kg /hour
Chippers/grinders	5.4 kg /hour
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1991.	

### ***Increase Benefits, Reduce Costs***

Communities can increase the benefits of the urban forest and decrease the costs listed in this fact sheet by following a few clear guidelines for proper management and care:

- Determine and prioritize long-term objectives and a desired future condition for your urban forest (plan for future windstorms, droughts, fires, and decreasing budgets; See Developing an Urban Forest Management Plan for Hurricane-Prone Communities, FOR 121);
- The less maintenance a tree requires, the lower its financial and environmental costs (use

low-maintenance, drought-resistant trees, and reduce gas or diesel use);

- Trees in harsh urban sites will incur greater financial and environmental costs than established trees growing in natural areas;
- Longer-lived trees will reduce costs and delay removal for a longer period of time;
- Preserving existing forested areas and groups of trees that are large and well-established should take precedence over planting new trees whenever possible (established forests need less maintenance and create fewer environmental costs);
- Assess tree condition and identify and deal with hazard trees appropriately (remove hazardous trees in poor condition during building development activities);
- Understand your community's attitudes and perceptions towards urban forests;
- Seek public input during the development of management goals and objectives; and
- Plant the right tree in the right place.

### ***Conclusion***

Understanding both benefits and costs is needed when managing urban forests. Seeking public input to determine perceived costs and benefits of trees will

prevent many future problems and allow others to be handled quickly and with an improvement in public understanding and appreciation of the urban forest. Each management decision has its potential drawbacks (for instance, deciding to increase tree densities for wind resistance and carbon offsetting may also increase the likelihood of complaints about wildfire hazard or undesirable wildlife and insects). Preserving low-maintenance, publically acceptable, larger trees in good condition provides the clearest benefits to communities, but widespread investment of resources to manage and maintain the entire urban forest will also benefit residents. In Gainesville as in other communities, an accurate assessment of the costs of the urban forest and careful management to reduce those costs will enhance the forest's benefits to the community.

For more information about Gainesville's urban forest read the Gainesville Urban Forest Series.

### Literature Cited

- Escobedo, F.J., J.A. Seitz, R.J. Northrop, and M.K. Moon. 2008. Community leaders' perceptions of urban forests in Hillsborough County, Florida (FOR194). Gainesville FL: School of Forest Resources and Conservation, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida.
- Lyytimäki, J., L.K. Petersen, B. Normander, and P. Bezák. 2008. Nature as a nuisance? Ecosystem services and disservices to urban lifestyle. *Environmental Sciences* 5(3):161–172.
- Kielbaso, J., B. Beauchamp, K. Larison, and C. Randall. 1988. Trends in urban forestry. Baseline Data Report 20(1). Washington DC: International City Management Association.
- McPherson, G., J.R. Simpson, P.J. Peper, S.E. Maco, and Q. Xiao. 2005. Municipal forest benefits and costs in five US cities. *Journal of Forestry* 103(8): 411–416.
- McPherson, G., J.R. Simpson, P.J. Peper, and Q. Xiao. 1999. Benefit-cost analysis of modesto's municipal urban forest. *Journal of Arboriculture* 25(5): 235–248.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1991. Non-Road Engine and Vehicle Emission Study Report. Report No. EPA 460/3-91-02. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Mobile Services, Ann Arbor, MI.