



Palm Problems: Field and Laboratory Diagnosis¹

Monica L. Elliott and Timothy K. Broschat²

Introduction

Palms growing in the landscape and field nurseries are susceptible to a wide range of diseases and disorders. Diagnosis of a particular palm problem often requires either a comprehensive understanding of all of the possibilities, or a systematic key to help the diagnostician focus on the cause of the problem. A key to the most common diseases and disorders affecting landscape palms in the continental United States is now available at http://flrec.ifas.ufl.edu/palm_prod/palm_problems.shtml. Some insect pests are included in the key, as the damage they cause may appear similar to some diseases or disorders.

The information in this document includes tips to determine when laboratory diagnostics may be useful and how to interpret and/or integrate field and laboratory diagnoses. References regarding palm horticulture, physiological disorders (e.g., nutrient deficiencies), diseases, and insects are listed at the end of this document.

A Dead Palm Tells No Tales

Scientists and palm specialists often receive photographs of dead palms, with no other accompanying material and with the following question attached: What killed my palm? In most cases, it is not the least bit obvious what has killed the palm! Diagnosticians (be it in the field or in the laboratory) need clues. The best clues come from palms that are still living and from the people who are growing the palms (especially if they have been closely observing the palms). Background information can be critical for making the correct diagnosis. This includes information about the palm itself, its surrounding environment and also recent weather events. Even with plenty of clues, it still may not be possible to determine exactly what is affecting the palm. We can only make an educated guess. Diagnosing palm problems can be as much an art as it is a science, and experience is invaluable.

1. This document is PP 246, one of a series of the Plant Pathology Department, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. Original publication date June 2008. Visit the EDIS Web Site at <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu>.

2. Monica L. Elliott, professor, Plant Pathology Department, and Timothy K. Broschat, professor, Environmental Horticulture Department, Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center--Fort Lauderdale, FL; Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.

Field Diagnosis vs. Laboratory Diagnosis

Since few people have immediate access to analytical laboratories, the diagnostic key http://flrec.ifas.ufl.edu/palm_prod/palm_problems.shtml is based solely on visible symptoms. Fortunately, visual symptoms are sufficient to diagnose many palm problems. Visual symptoms are also the first step in determining which diagnostic lab to use for further analysis, and which tissue should be sampled for analysis. Table 1 provides guidelines regarding tissue to sample. For most plant diseases, plant diagnostics is not nearly as sophisticated as human medical diagnostics. A laboratory analysis should **always** be used in conjunction with the field diagnosis of the problem. Never rely on a laboratory diagnosis without also making a good faith attempt at the field diagnosis. The two diagnoses should agree.

Just because a laboratory report suggests deficiencies of one or more nutrient elements or the presence of one or more potential pathogens does not mean that those deficiencies or pathogens are the actual cause of the particular problem. “False positives” are common, and often misleading. This is one weakness of laboratory diagnostics when used as the sole method of diagnosis. In the case of palm diseases, “false negatives” are also a common problem, especially when the wrong tissue is sampled or a sample of poor quality is submitted to the laboratory. If the two diagnoses (field and lab) do not agree, then re-examine the problem to determine which diagnosis is more likely to explain the symptoms being observed (compare to descriptions and photos in reference materials), and if you sampled the correct material for the laboratory diagnosis. Alternatively, you may need to start at the beginning as neither diagnosis may be correct.

Laboratory Disease Diagnosis

A laboratory disease diagnosis may be required to confirm the field diagnosis, as it may not be readily apparent which pathogen is causing the symptoms observed in the field. Sometimes a laboratory diagnosis is necessary because two diseases have identical symptoms. For example, Fusarium wilt and

petiole (rachis) blight of Canary Island date palm (*Phoenix canariensis*) have similar symptoms but one is lethal (Fusarium wilt) and one is not. If confirmation of a field disease diagnosis is necessary, it should be conducted by a qualified plant disease diagnostic laboratory. For example, molecular tests are necessary to confirm Fusarium wilt, Fusarium decline, lethal yellowing and Texas Phoenix palm decline, but only the University of Florida currently offers these services in Florida <http://plantpath.ifas.ufl.edu/pdc/default.htm>.

Sampling the correct tissue is critical for an accurate laboratory diagnosis. For example, lethal yellowing is confirmed from internal trunk corings, while petiole (rachis) blight pathogens only infect the palm petiole or rachis. In both cases, sampling leaflet tissue of a palm affected by either of these diseases would have yielded a false negative. Thus, it is imperative to make the field diagnosis as accurate as possible in order to determine which tissue to sample. Many “potential” plant pathogens are naturally part of the palm environment, so it is easy to isolate these “potential” pathogens rather than the actual pathogen causing the symptoms observed. The laboratory is analyzing the tissue that **you** provide. Sampling the proper tissue and providing adequate background information on the problem increases the likelihood of obtaining an accurate diagnosis. A good series of photographs illustrating the problem is always helpful.

One common error in diagnosing palm problems is to sample roots. In the landscape and field nursery, root rots of palms are uncommon, and are usually the secondary result of a palm being planted incorrectly or in the wrong environment. Examples include planting *Phoenix dactylifera* in soils that are routinely water-logged or planting any palm too deep. A diagnostic laboratory will usually be able to isolate *potentially* pathogenic fungi from roots, but these fungi are seldom the *primary* cause of the problem observed. This is an important distinction for management purposes, as one needs to first correct the primary cause, if possible. Likewise, soil sampling for potential pathogens is not recommended because there are always “potential” pathogens in the soil. Root rots of palms growing in containers are more likely to occur because of the poor soil aeration.

Sometimes it is not possible to make a confirmation of a field diagnosis until a dead or dying palm is cut down. For example, palms affected by *Ganoderma* butt rot may die without producing conks (basidiocarps) from the lower trunk area. However, when the palm is cut down and multiple cross-sections are made of the trunk, the disease will be easily confirmed based on the pattern of rot within the trunk, and without the necessity of a laboratory diagnosis. Another example concerns damage to the apical meristem (bud or heart). Many abiotic and biotic problems may kill the bud, but you may not be able to determine or confirm the cause until longitudinal sections are made through the bud.

Leaf Nutrient Analysis

Most nutrient deficiency problems can be readily diagnosed by visual symptoms alone. For most palm species, diagnosis should rely on visual symptoms rather than a leaf nutrient analysis. Base line data for nutrient sufficiency has been developed for only a few palm species. Therefore, without a comparison to a known nutrient sufficient palm of the same species, a leaf nutrient analysis can be misleading.

There are situations where multiple deficiencies may be present on a single palm. Symptoms of these deficiencies may be present on different parts of the palm (e.g., old vs. new leaves), but may occasionally be superimposed on the same tissue. A common example of the latter is potassium and magnesium deficiency symptoms, both being present to some degree on the older leaves of a palm. For these situations, leaf nutrient analysis can be useful for distinguishing multiple deficiencies where the symptoms of one deficiency may mask those of another.

Leaf analysis can also be used to confirm or clarify a diagnosis based on visual symptoms. However, there are exceptions. For example, leaf analysis is not particularly useful for diagnosing iron deficiency in any plant, and leaf analysis may not accurately assess the boron sufficiency status of a palm at any given time, due to the often transient nature of boron deficiency.

In order to obtain useful results from a leaf analysis, the proper leaves must be sampled. Leaf

nutrient analyses are based on samples of several leaflets (pinnate-leaf palms) or leaf segments (fan leaf palms) taken from the center of the youngest, fully expanded leaf (Figs. 1 and 2). Depending on the nutrient deficiency, this may or may not be the leaf exhibiting symptoms. In pinnate-leaf palms, this youngest, fully-expanded leaf should have all of its basal leaflets (or spines in some species) expanded out and perpendicular to the petiole axis, as in older leaves (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. The feather palm leaf on the left has been sampled correctly for tissue nutrient analysis (middle leaflets are removed). The leaf on the right should not be sampled as all of the leaflets have not fully expanded. Note that the leaflets closest to the petiole base of the leaf are not yet at a right angle to the petiole. Credits: T. K. Broschat

Leaf Disease vs. Nutrient Deficiency

Too complicate matters even further, it is possible to have both a nutrient deficiency and a leaf spot disease. Furthermore, some nutrient deficiencies look like a leaf spot disease. If you cannot decide which problem you are observing, then collecting samples for *both* a disease diagnosis and leaf nutrient analysis may be necessary. However, this will require duplicate samples and may require sampling different



Figure 2. The fan palm leaf has been sampled correctly for tissue nutrient analysis (middle leaf segments are removed). Credits: T. K. Broschat

tissue on the same plant. As explained above, leaf nutrient analysis is based on leaflets from the youngest fully expanded leaf. Leaf disease samples should be the leaves exhibiting the leaf spot or leaf blight symptoms.

Soil Nutrient Analysis

Soil nutrient analysis has often been employed in the diagnosis of plant problems in the landscape and field nursery. Unfortunately, this technique has limited value for this purpose, and often leads to erroneous conclusions. Just because a nutrient element is found to be “deficient” in the soil, does not mean that the plant is unable to extract sufficient amounts of that element from the soil. Alternatively, a palm may be suffering from a deficiency of an element that is present in “sufficient” levels according to soil tests.

Soil analysis can be useful for diagnosing problems such as high soluble salts, a disorder with symptoms very similar to those of chemical toxicities or even potassium deficiency in some species. Soil analysis may also provide useful information regarding soil pH, which could affect your choice of corrective fertilizers or explain why a deficiency is occurring. For example, manganese availability in the soil is soil pH dependent.

When collecting soil samples for laboratory analysis, it is best to scratch away the mulch or other surface covering and obtain a cup or more of soil from the top 4 to 6 inches of the soil profile. Sample several areas under the canopy of a single palm, or

from under the canopies of several palms, if they are all affected by a single problem. These samples should be thoroughly mixed, and about one cupful of the mixture taken to a soils laboratory for analysis.

More Information

Once a diagnosis is made, treatment for that particular problem can be obtained from the appropriate University of Florida/IFAS Extension documents on the web. These documents contain discussions and illustrations of the palm diseases, physiological disorders and insect problems included in the key and should be used in conjunction with the key. A set of plastic-coated cards that illustrates the more common palm diseases and disorders is also available. You should also consult with your local Cooperative Extension Service office for local updates. (link to county offices and maps at <http://solutionsforyourlife.ufl.edu/map/index.html>)

- Broschat, T.K. and A.W. Meerow. 2000. *Ornamental Palm Horticulture*. University Press of Florida, Gainesville, FL. 800/226-3822 (<http://www.upf.com>).
- Broschat, T.K. and M.L. Elliott. 2005. *Disorders and Diseases of Ornamental Palms*. SP360. University of Florida, IFAS Extension, Gainesville, FL. This is a set of plastic-coated, color cards (3 x 4 inches) with 45 photos of common disorder and disease symptoms. 800/226-1764 (credit card orders only) (<http://ifasbooks.ufl.edu>) -- under "Landscapes and Lawns" and then click on "books".
- Elliott, M.L., T.K. Broschat, J.Y. Uchida, and G.W. Simone, eds. 2004. *Compendium of Ornamental Palm Diseases and Disorders*. APS Press, St. Paul, MN. 800/328-7560 (<http://www.shopapspress.org>) [also on CD].
- Howard, F.W., D. Moore, R.M. Giblin-Davis, and R.G. Abad. 2001. *Insects on Palms*. CABI Publishing, Oxon, UK. North American publisher is Oxford University Press. 800/451-7556 954/981-2821 (<http://www.oup.com/us>).

- Meerow, A. W. 2006. *Betrocks Landscape Palms*. Betrock Information Systems, Inc., Davie, FL 800/627-3819 (<http://www.betrock.com>). This is an updated version of *Betrocks Guide to Landscape Palms* - [also on CD].
- Meerow, A. W. 2005. *Betrocks Cold Hardy Palms*. Betrock Information Systems, Inc., Davie, FL 800/627-3819 (<http://www.betrock.com>).
- EDIS (electronic publication site for UF/IFAS information) has publications on palms at (<http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu>). Type “palm” into the search engine. Many of these publications are new as of 2005. A few are major revisions. As new information becomes available, these publications are updated and new ones are added.
- *Florida Extension Plant Disease Clinic Network*. General information about the UF/IFAS clinics at (<http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/SR007>). Pricing is available at (<http://plantpath.ifas.ufl.edu/pdc/rates.htm>).
- Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center web page on Palm Production and Maintenance has links to EDIS publications and additional files on “hot topics” at (<http://flrec.ifas.ufl.edu/>) -- then click on “Palm Production and Maintenance”.
- *Featured Creatures* is the UF Dept. Entomology & Nematology/DPI web site at (<http://creatures.ifas.ufl.edu>).

Table 1. Table 1. Tissue to sample for laboratory diagnosis, after a preliminary field diagnosis has been made.

Field Diagnosis	Palm Tissue to Sample for Laboratory Diagnosis¹
Bud Rot	Speare leaf or next youngest leaf exhibiting typical disease symptoms. If bud is already rotted, it is often not useful to sample the tissue as secondary microorganisms hinder isolation of the primary pathogen.
Fusarium Wilt	Leaf exhibiting typical symptoms of the disease: entire leaf or, at a minimum, leaf petiole base (petiole portion from trunk to beginning of leaflets)
Fusarium Decline	Leaf exhibiting typical symptoms of the disease: entire leaf or, at a minimum, leaf petiole base (petiole portion from trunk to beginning of leaflets or leaf blade)
Ganoderma Butt Rot	Laboratory diagnosis is not usually possible. Field diagnosis is based on presence of conk or on multiple cross-sections through lower 3-4 feet of trunk.
Graphiola Leaf Spot (False Smut)	Laboratory diagnosis is not necessary because fungal structures can be easily observed with hand magnifying glass.
Lethal Yellowing	Internal trunk tissue for detection of phytoplasma. Instructions at http://flrec.ifas.ufl.edu/pdfs/LY-TPPD-Trunk-Sampling.pdf
Leaf Spots or Leaf Blights (numerous pathogens)	Leaf exhibiting typical symptoms of disease: entire leaf or, at a minimum, 5 to 8 leaflets or leaf segments with symptoms.
Nutrient Deficiency	Select the youngest, fully-expanded leaf. Remove 4 to 6 leaflets on both sides of rachis of a feather-leaf palm. Remove 4 to 6 leaf segments from blade of fan-leaf palm.
Petiole (Rachis) Blight (numerous pathogens)	Leaf exhibiting typical symptoms of disease: entire leaf or, at a minimum, leaf petiole base (petiole portion from trunk to beginning of leaflets or leaf blade).
Texas Phoenix Palm Decline	Internal trunk tissue for detection of phytoplasma. Instructions at http://flrec.ifas.ufl.edu/pdfs/LY-TPPD-Trunk-Sampling.pdf
Trunk Rot	Select a cross-section of the trunk that has both rotted and healthy trunk tissue. The interface between diseased and healthy tissue is the ideal sample.
	¹ Unless otherwise instructed, plant tissue samples for disease diagnosis should NOT be placed in a plastic bag. Instead, place tissue in a plain paper bag or in a box and pack with newspapers. If sending entire leaves or portions of the petiole, it is acceptable to cut the leaf or petiole into smaller sections and bundle together with tape or string. See the home page for the University of Florida Extension Plant Disease Clinic at http://plantpath.ifas.ufl.edu/pdc for further information on submission, submission sample form and pricing.