

15. Leppert, G., and Zimmerman, J. R., "A Design-Oriented Freshman Engineering Program," Proc. 6th Nat. Design Conf., Detroit, 153, (1973).
16. Zimmerman, J. R., and Hawks, R. J., "Teaching Programming As An Introduction To Engineering," *ERM*, 7, 3, 70, (1975).
17. Leppert, G., "Expanding Design Participation at Clarkson," *Eng. Ed.*, 64, 5, 367, (1974).
18. Youngquist, G. R., "An Introductory Design Course For Engineering Freshmen," *Chem. Eng. Ed.*, 9, 1, 32, (1975).
19. Taylor, J. R., *Model Building For Architects and Engineers*, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1971).
20. "American Engineering Model Society Model Handbook," 2nd. Ed., AEMS, Ross, Ohio, (1976).
21. Plastruct, Los Angeles, California; Po'lks, New York City; Northeastern Model Materials, Andover, Massachusetts; Small Sales Company, Shawnee Mission, Kansas.
22. Freund, C. J., "Engineering Design Verges Upon Engineering Art," Paper 63 WA-142, 1963 ASME Winter Annual Meeting, (1963).



## CHEMICAL ENGINEERING DIVISION ACTIVITIES

### Nine ChE's Receive Awards at ASEE Meeting

ASEE president George Burnet has pointed out that at the recent ASEE Annual Conference at Knoxville a number of chemical engineers received special recognition. Following is a list of awardees.

Lamme Award	John J. McKetta
Curtis W. McGraw Award	John H. Seinfeld
3M Lectureship Award	Abraham E. Dukler
Western Electric Fund Award (Illinois-Indiana Section)	Ralph E. Peck
Western Electric Fund Award (Middle Atlantic Section)	Angelo J. Perna
Western Electric Fund Award (New England Section)	James R. Kittrell
Western Electric Fund Award (North Central Section)	Alan J. Brainard
Western Electric Fund Award (Pacific Southwestern Section)	Fred H. Shair
Western Electric Fund Award (St. Lawrence Section)	Joseph Estrin

Dr. Burnet also requested that it be reported that the editor of *CEE* received a special award from the Chemical Division which was accepted on behalf of the staff of *CEE*.

## LETTERS: Carberry

Continued from page 107.

How, for example, in the name of God, Zeus or whatever diety prevails in Buffalo, is Yale\* placed in the tail end "of the class" relative to Buffalo? How is it that Yale University is ranked with Judas in the Gill report when, in fact, an even casual survey of their research endeavors would prompt even a Big-8 anti-Ivy league-type to conclude that the graduate research-study program at Yale is vastly more fundamentally significant than that of one-half of those departments blessed with top 20 categorization by Gill et al.? How is it that perhaps several of the departments assigned a rank in the top twenty by Gill et al. (including, oddly I contend, his university) would, on survey, be totally innocent of the nature of Yale's labors and the Journals within which the Yale Chemical Engineering people deposit their findings?

I leave it as an exercise to Gill enthusiasts to seek out those non-AIChE Journals in which Yale Chemical Engineering people choose to publish their research findings, which areas they choose to pursue as *ultimately* relevant to the science of chemical engineering.

We, in chemical engineering, have gone well beyond the usual pedestrian levels of research inquiry. Survey your colleagues, dear reader: where do they publish? Perhaps in an AIChE publication; perhaps elsewhere. Our noble calling has become, happily, diffuse insofar as borderlines between chemical engineering and chemical physics are no longer clear and well defined interfaces. This I welcome. Provost Gill's survey respects not this reality.

Yale has been and is and will always be a great university, a summation of innovative departments of distinct, unique insight whether in the area of literature or chemical engineering. Having had a distinguished department of traditional chemical engineering for enough decades to even inspire a Buffalo, they now choose to pursue a program of education and research in the chemical engineering sciences, which enterprise might ultimately enlighten over-inflated Buffalo.

As this comment is quite personal, permit me to fashion the "Carberry Report"—an evaluation of graduate chemical engineering departments in two categories: general (catholic-note, please, the lower case c) and specialized (I leave it to reformation theologians to fashion a more definitive category):

General:	Specialized:
1. Minnesota	1. Stanford
2. Delaware	2. Yale
3. Berkeley	3. Princeton
4. Carnegie-Mellon	4. Pennsylvania
5. Illinois	5. Wisconsin
6. Northwestern	6. Everyman's School

Beyond that, my friends and enemies, its "to each his own." As for the unmentioned, do your own grand thing. The "Carberry Report" respects all who labor in the vineyard, even Gill's Buffalo.

U. of Notre Dame  
J. J. Carberry

\*of which I am proud to be an alumnus.